

Disclaimer: This report, as required per 28 CFR §115.403, details the findings of an audit that was conducted by an outside contractor to determine the Federal Bureau of Prisons' (FBOP) compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). As the work product of independent auditors subcontracted by [Corrections Consulting Services LLC \(CCS\)](#), the FBOP is **not** responsible for grammatical or typographical errors. Additionally, any questions or comments regarding the discrepancies or inaccuracies found within this report should be directed to the subcontracted independent auditor (name and email address can be found on page one of the report), for explanation and resolution.

Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report Adult Prisons & Jails

Interim Final

Date of Interim Audit Report: N/A

Date of Final Audit Report: 01/13/2025

Auditor Information

Name: Cynthia Swier

Email: cindy@preaauditing.com

Company Name: Corrections Consulting Services LLC

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 78609

City, State, Zip: Buchanan Dam, TX 78609

Telephone: N/A

Date of Facility Visit: 12/17/2024 – 12/19/2024

Agency Information

Name of Agency: Federal Bureau of Prisons

Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): United States Department of Justice

Physical Address: 320 First St., NW

City, State, Zip: Washington, DC 20534

Mailing Address: 320 First St., NW

City, State, Zip: Washington, DC 20534

The Agency Is:

Military

Private for Profit

Private not for Profit

Municipal

County

State

Federal

Agency Website with PREA Information: www.bop.gov

Agency Chief Executive Officer

Name: Colette S. Peters, Director

Email: BOP-RSD-PREACoordinator@bop.gov

Telephone: 202-616-2112

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

Name: Jessica Seaton, National PREA Coordinator

Email: BOP-RSD-PREACoordinator@bop.gov

Telephone: 202-307-3198

PREA Coordinator Reports to:

Kevin D. Pistro, Psy.D. Assistant Director,
Reentry Services Division

Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA
Coordinator:
120

Facility Information

Name of Facility: MCFP Springfield			
Physical Address: 1900 W. Sunshine St.		City, State, Zip: Springfield, MO 65807	
Mailing Address (if different from above): P.O. Box 4000		City, State, Zip: Springfield, MO 65801	
The Facility Is:	<input type="checkbox"/> Military	<input type="checkbox"/> Private for Profit	<input type="checkbox"/> Private not for Profit
<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> County	<input type="checkbox"/> State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal
Facility Type:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prison	<input type="checkbox"/> Jail	
Facility Website with PREA Information: www.bop.gov/locations/insitutions/spg			
Has the facility been accredited within the past 3 years? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years):			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACA			
<input type="checkbox"/> NCCHC			
<input type="checkbox"/> CALEA			
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: _____)			
<input type="checkbox"/> N/A			
If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe: Yearly Operational Reviews for each Department.			
Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director			
Name: Mark King			
Email: SPG-PREAComplianceMgr-S@bop.gov		Telephone: 417-862-7041	
Facility PREA Compliance Manager			
Name: C. M. Willoughby, Associate Warden			
Email: SPG-PREAComplianceMgr-S@bop.gov		Telephone: 417-862-7041	
Facility Health Service Administrator <input type="checkbox"/> N/A			
Name: Tammy Trimble			
Email: SPG-PREAComplianceMgr-S@bop.gov		Telephone: 417-862-7041	
Facility Characteristics			
Designated Facility Capacity:		1137	
Current Population of Facility:		1099	

MCFP Springfield

Average daily population for the past 12 months:	1011
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	<input type="checkbox"/> Females <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Males <input type="checkbox"/> Both Females and Males
Age range of population:	20-88
Average length of stay or time under supervision:	682.5
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Administrative / Community, In, Maximum, Out
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months:	1064
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	1031
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more:	936
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Number of youthful inmates held in the facility during the past 12 months: (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Does the audited facility hold inmates for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Select all other agencies for which the audited facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold inmates for any other agency or agencies):	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal Bureau of Prisons <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Marshals Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/> Bureau of Indian Affairs <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Military branch <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State or Territorial correctional agency <input type="checkbox"/> County correctional or detention agency <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial district correctional or detention facility <input type="checkbox"/> City or municipal correctional or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or city jail) <input type="checkbox"/> Private corrections or detention provider <input type="checkbox"/> Other - please name or describe: <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with inmates:	579
Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates:	68
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:	29
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	55

Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	25
Physical Plant	
<p>Number of buildings:</p> <p>Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether inmates are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house inmates, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.</p>	32
<p>Number of inmate housing units:</p> <p>Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows inmates to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.</p>	22
Number of single cell housing units:	13 (6 also have multiple occupancy cells)
Number of multiple occupancy cell housing units:	8 (6 also have single cell housing)
Number of open bay/dorm housing units:	1
Number of segregation cells (for example, administrative, disciplinary, protective custody, etc.):	SHU – 26 ; medical – 25 ; mental health – 161 ; 38 cells are a semi-locked, step-down unit.
In housing units, does the facility maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams

Are medical services provided on-site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Are mental health services provided on-site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams provided? Select all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> On-site <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local hospital/clinic <input type="checkbox"/> Rape Crisis Center <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe:

Investigations

Criminal Investigations

Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment:	0
When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility investigators <input type="checkbox"/> Agency investigators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An external investigative entity
Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)	<input type="checkbox"/> Local police department <input type="checkbox"/> Local sheriff's department <input type="checkbox"/> State police <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A U.S. Department of Justice component <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: <input type="checkbox"/> N/A

Administrative Investigations

Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	253
When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility investigators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agency investigators <input type="checkbox"/> An external investigative entity
Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)	<input type="checkbox"/> Local police department <input type="checkbox"/> Local sheriff's department <input type="checkbox"/> State police <input type="checkbox"/> A U.S. Department of Justice component <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A

Summary of Audit Findings

Standards Exceeded

Number of Standards Exceeded: 1

List of Standards Exceeded: 115.31

Standards Met

Number of Standards Met: 44

Standards Not Met

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

List of Standards Not Met:

Post-Audit Reporting Information

General Audit Information	
Onsite Audit Dates	
1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	12/17/2024
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	12/19/2024
Outreach	
3. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
a. If yes, identify the community-based organizations or victim advocates with whom you corresponded:	JDI, RAINN
Audited Facility Information	
4. Designated Facility Capacity:	1137
5. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	1011
6. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units: DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows residents to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.	17
7. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
<i>Inmates/Residents/Detainees</i>	
8. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1098
9. Enter the total number of youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
10. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	232
11. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	506
12. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	19
13. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	59
14. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	267
15. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	Not tracked by the BOP
16. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender, or intersex housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	6
17. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility who are housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	14
18. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual harassment in this facility who are housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
19. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	23
20. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
21. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for having reported sexual abuse in this facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0

22. Enter the total number of inmates/residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
23. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations). <i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i>	N/A
<i>Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Include all full- and part-time staff employed by the facility, regardless of their level of contact with inmates/residents/detainees</i>	
24. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	563
25. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	55
26. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	22
27. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit. <i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i>	N/A
Interviews	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
<i>Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</i>	
28. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	25
29. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected random inmate/resident/detainee interviewees:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race <input type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) <input type="checkbox"/> None (explain)

<p>30. How did you ensure your sample of random inmate/resident/detainee interviewees was geographically diverse?</p>	<p>The auditor selected individuals from each housing unit. These individuals were randomly selected by choosing the 10th person on the list from each unit. The auditor focused on individuals from various races to ensure a cross section of the population were interviewed.</p>
<p>31. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews:</p>	<p>N/A</p>

<p>32. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation, etc.).</p> <p><i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
---	------------

Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews

<p>33. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</p> <p><i>As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols.</i></p> <p><i>For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed.</i></p> <p><i>If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".</i></p>	<p>18</p>
<p>34. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees using the "Youthful Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p>

	<input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The facility does not house youthful inmates.
35. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the “Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates” protocol:	1

a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	N/A
36. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the “Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates” protocol:	3
a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	N/A
37. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) using the “Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates” protocol:	1
a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	N/A
<p>38. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the “Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates” protocol:</p>	0
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	N/A
<p>39. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the “Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates” protocol:</p>	5
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	N/A
<p>40. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the “Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates” protocol:</p>	0
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	This is not tracked by the BOP since the inmates are not required to report this.

<p>41. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex “Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates” protocol:</p>	1
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	N/A
<p>42. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the “Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse” protocol:</p>	3
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	N/A
<p>43. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the “Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening” protocol:</p>	4
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	N/A
<p>44. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the “Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Alleged to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)” protocol:</p>	0

<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>The facility does house inmates in segregation for this reason. The auditor reviewed the Special Housing Unit roster and spoke with staff in the segregation unit.</p>
<p>45. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation, etc.).</p> <p><i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</p>	
<p><i>Random Staff Interviews</i></p>	
<p>46. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</p>	<p>13</p>
<p>47. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees (select all that apply):</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None (explain)</p>
<p>48. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>a. If no, select the reasons why you were not able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews (select all that apply):</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Too many staff declined to participate in interviews</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not enough staff employed by the facility to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews (Note: select this option if there were not enough staff employed by the facility or not enough staff employed by the facility to interview for both random and specialized staff roles).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not enough staff available in the facility during the onsite portion of the audit to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe)</p>
<p>b. Describe the steps you took to select additional RANDOM STAFF interviewees and why you were still unable to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews:</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>49. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, etc.).</p>	<p>N/A</p>

<p><i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i></p>	
<p><i>Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews</i> <i>Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that interview would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.</i></p>	
<p>50. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</p>	21
<p>51. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the Agency Head:</p>	The written response of the Agency Head Designee was provided for these audit reports.
<p>52. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee:</p>	N/A
<p>53. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the PREA Coordinator:</p>	N/A
<p>54. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)
<p>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the PREA Compliance Manager:</p>	N/A
<p>55. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agency contract administrator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment <input type="checkbox"/> Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mental health staff <input type="checkbox"/> Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative (human resources) staff <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations <input type="checkbox"/> Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> First responders, both security and non-security staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intake staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) counselor, legal assistant, unit manager, training, cook, mailroom, education supervisor, chaplain
56. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	There were no volunteers at the facility during the on-site audit.
b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):	<input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Mental health/counseling <input type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> Other
57. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	3
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):	<input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention <input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Food service <input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other - Contract translator
58. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, etc.). <i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i>	N/A
Site Review and Documentation Sampling	
Site Review	
<i>PREA Standard 115.401(h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: discussions related to testing critical functions are expected to be included in the relevant Standard-specific overall determination narratives.</i>	

59. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
a. If no, explain what areas of the facility you were unable to access and why.	N/A
Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:	
60. Reviewing/examining all areas of the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
a. If no, explain why the site review did not include reviewing/examining all areas of the facility.	N/A
61. Testing and/or observing all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., intake process, risk screening process, PREA education)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
a. If no, explain why the site review did not include testing and/or observing all critical functions in the facility.	N/A
62. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
63. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<p>64. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).</p> <p><i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i></p>	<p>The auditor was given an overview / observation of the intake process, orientation process, risk screening process, and grievance process during the on-site review. The auditor was able to observe camera and mirror placement as well as staffing in various locations throughout the facility. The auditor observed the medical exam rooms, the privacy in the housing unit shower and toilet areas, strip search areas and the location of storage for sensitive medical and risk screening documents. The auditor also observed PREA reporting signage throughout the facility which included information in visitation areas for third party reporting. Victim advocacy and emotional support information was also observed. The auditor tested the hotline number and the phone access as well as made a test email via the inmate kiosk. Informal conversations were conducted with both staff and inmates.</p>
--	---

Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review—such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files—auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

65. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? Yes No

66. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).

Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.

N/A

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations in this Facility

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted.

Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

67. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an “X” in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
<u>Inmate-on-inmate</u> sexual abuse	2	0	2	0
<u>Staff-on-inmate</u> sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	2	0	2	0

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

N/A

68. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an “X” in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
<u>Inmate-on-inmate</u> sexual harassment	3	0	3	0
<u>Staff-on-inmate</u> sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	3	0	3	0

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

69. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an “X” in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
<u>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</u>	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</u>	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided. N/A

70. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an “X” in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<u>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</u>	0	0	2	0
<u>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</u>	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	2	0

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided. N/A

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

71. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an “X” in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
<u>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</u>	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</u>	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided. N/A

72. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	2	1
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	2	1

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

N/A

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

73. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:

2

a. If 0, explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files:

N/A

74. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?

 Yes No

 N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)

Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files

75. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:

2

76. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?

 Yes No

 N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)

77. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?

 Yes No

 N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)

Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files

78. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:

0

79. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?

 Yes No

 N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)

80. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?

 Yes No

 N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)

Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

81. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:

3

a. If 0, explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:

N/A

82. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
83. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	3
84. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
85. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
86. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
87. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
88. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
89. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files. <i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i>	N/A
Support Staff Information	
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff	
90. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? <i>Remember: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
a. If yes, enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS who provided assistance at any point during the audit:	N/A
Non-certified Support Staff	
91. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? <i>Remember: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

<p>a. If yes, enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF who provided assistance at any point during the audit:</p>	<p>N/A</p>
---	------------

Auditing Arrangements and Compensation

<p>92. Who paid you to conduct this audit?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The audited facility or its parent agency</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> My state/territory or county government (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>
---	--

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

115.11 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.11 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? Yes No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? Yes No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?
 Yes No

115.11 (c)

- If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) Yes No NA
- Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*

3. SPG-5324.12h, Institution Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. Agency Organizational Chart

Interviews:

1. PREA Coordinator
2. PREA Compliance Manager

Findings (By Provision):

115.11 (a): The agency has a comprehensive PREA policy: PS 5324.12, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*. Institution Supplement SPG-5324.12h and *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention – An Overview for Offenders*, further supplement the agency's policy. The agency has a zero-tolerance policy towards all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment which is outlined on page 1 of PS 5324.12. The policies outline the strategies on preventing, detecting and responding to such conduct and include definitions of prohibited behavior. Agency policies address preventing sexual abuse and sexual harassment through the designation of a PREA Coordinator (PC); criminal history background checks for staff, contractors and volunteers; training for staff, contractors and volunteers; staffing levels, intake/risk screening for inmates, inmate education and posting of PREA information. The policies address detecting sexual abuse and sexual harassment through training (staff, volunteers and contractors), and intake / risk screening of inmates. The policies address responding to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment through reporting, investigations, victim services, medical and mental health services, disciplinary sanctions for staff and inmates, incident reviews and data collection. This policy is consistent with the PREA standards and outlines the agency's approach to sexual safety.

115.11 (b): The agency's organizational chart reflects that the PC position is an upper-level position with agency-wide oversight. The PC reports to the Assistant Director, Reentry Services Division. The PC provides guidance through regional PREA Coordinators and PREA Compliance Managers. The PC was interviewed and reported that the position is full-time and that there is sufficient time to manage all PREA related responsibilities.

115.11 (c): The facility has designated an Associate Warden as the staff member responsible for ensuring PREA compliance. The PAQ indicated that the PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) has sufficient authority and time to coordinate the facility's PREA efforts. The facility's organizational chart confirms that the Associate Warden is responsible for PREA compliance and that he reports directly to the Warden. The interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated that there is sufficient time to coordinate the facility's PREA compliance.

Based on the review of the PAQ and related documents, it is evident that the agency has a PREA policy. The agency has designated an upper-level PC as verified through the organizational chart and each institution / facility has a PREA Compliance Manager which is also evidenced in the organizational chart. PREA implementation is determined to comply with the standard. The preparedness for the audit and overall incorporation of institutionalized PREA

standards demonstrate that the PC and the PCM have sufficient time and authority to accomplish PREA responsibilities for the agency and the facility.

Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

115.12 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) Yes No NA

115.12 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Interviews:

1. Agency Contract Administrator

Findings (By Provision):

115.12 (a): N/A

115.12 (b): N/A

The BOP is no longer actively soliciting new contracts with private facilities. The BOP does not have any contracts for the confinement of inmates and no such contracts are projected to be created in the foreseeable future. The Memorandum from the Assistant Director states that “per the President’s Executive Order on Reforming Our Incarceration System to Eliminate the Use of Privately Operated Criminal Detention Facilities, issued on January 26, 2021, the Bureau of Prisons no longer engages in contracting for inmate confinement.”

Based on the review of the PAQ, and information from the memorandum from the Assistant Director, this standard is determined to be N/A, and therefore compliant.

Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

115.13 (a)

- Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? Yes
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility’s physical plant (including “blind-spots” or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the

staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? Yes No NA

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? Yes No

115.13 (b)

- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)
 Yes No NA

115.13 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? Yes No

115.13 (d)

- Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? Yes No
- Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. SPG-5324.12h, Institution Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. USMCFP Springfield Salary Workforce Minutes
5. Memorandum for Institutional Duty Officer (IDO) Unannounced Rounds
6. Procedural Guidelines – Institution Duty Officer Procedures
7. Memorandum for Staffing Plan – no deviations
8. Memorandum for PREA Annual Assurance Audit (2021, 2022, 2023, 2024)
9. USMCFP Springfield Staffing Report
10. Documentation of Unannounced Rounds

Interviews:

1. Warden
2. PREA Compliance Manager
3. PREA Coordinator
4. Intermediate-Level or Higher-Level Facility Staff

Site Review Observations:

1. Staffing Levels
2. Video Monitoring Technology
3. Security Convex Mirrors

Findings (By Provision):

115.13 (a): Policy PS 5324.12 states that the Human Resource Management Division and Administration Division must consider PREA factors and safety, in general, when allocating overall staffing resources. The policy also indicates that the vacancy rate will not exceed ten percent during any eighteen-month period. The facility provided the USMCFP Springfield Salary Workforce Minutes. The staffing plan takes into consideration generally accepted detention practices, any judicial findings of inadequacy, any finding of inadequacy from federal investigative agencies, any finding of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies, all components of the facility's physical plant, the composition of the inmate population, the number and placement of supervisory staff, the institutional programs occurring on a particular shift, any applicable state or local laws, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of abuse and any other relevant factors. The staffing plan is based off on the the average daily MCFP Springfield

number of inmates since the last PREA audit, which is 1011. Staff mainly make up three eight-hour shifts. Interviews with the Warden and the PCM confirmed that the facility has a staffing plan which provides adequate staffing levels and that they reference with the plan on a regular basis. The PCM indicated that each BOP facility receives a quarterly report which lists a summary of all program review deficiencies. These reports are reviewed to determine if there are any necessary changes to policies procedure and practices. The PCM also indicated that they review the National PREA Coordinator reports to monitor any trends and make appropriate modifications. The PCM indicated that the plan is reviewed quarterly and also during any monthly program reviews. The Warden indicated that the facility reviews the plan and the facility to eliminate any blind spots, to place staff in high traffic areas and areas that have special populations. The Warden indicated that staff review the population to include aggressors and victims and determine any areas of weakness at the facility for these individuals. The Warden also indicated that posts are not authorized to be unmanned and overtime or mandatory overtime would be utilized, if necessary.

115.13 (b): The facility indicated in the PAQ that no deviations from the staffing plan had occurred during the previous twelve months. The interview with the Warden indicated that deviations would not occur as policy does not allow for correctional service posts to be unmanned. Overtime is used to cover any deviations and ensure proper staffing is maintained.

115.13 (c): The staffing plan is reviewed quarterly by the Salary/Workforce Utilization Committee. The plan was reviewed to assess, determine and document whether any adjustments were needed to the staffing plan, the deployment of video monitoring technologies and/or the resources available to commit to ensuring adherence to the staffing plan. The auditor received written responses from the National PREA Coordinator who confirmed in the interview that the staffing plan is reviewed annually and is compiled by the Regional PREA Coordinator and submitted to the National PREA Coordinator.

115.13 (d): PS 5324.12 indicates that the Institution Duty Officer (IDO) is required to make weekly unannounced rounds on all shifts to identify and deter sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These rounds are required to be documented and forwarded to the PCM for retention. Additionally, unannounced rounds by supervisory staff are conducted with the intent of identifying and deterring sexual abuse and sexual harassment are conducted every week. A review of the PAQ supplemental documentation indicated that unannounced rounds are being conducted weekly by the IDO in all locations at the facility as well as by the Shift Lieutenant(s). Additionally, PS 5324.12 prohibits staff from alerting other staff members that the supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to legitimate operational functions of the facility. A review of documentation of unannounced rounds in each housing unit for the Shift Lieutenant indicated that rounds were conducted at least once on each shift in each of the housing units. During the interviews, supervisory staff indicated that they deviate their times and vary locations from unit to unit instead of in a consistent pattern in order to prevent staff from alerting other staff that rounds are occurring.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, SPG-5324.12h, the staffing plan, memorandums, annual reviews, documentation of unannounced rounds, observations made during the site review and interviews with supervisory staff, the standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

115.14 (a)

- Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

115.14 (b)

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA
- In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

115.14 (c)

- Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA
- Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA
- Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Interviews:

1. Warden
2. PREA Compliance Manager (PCM)

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations in the Housing Units of Inmates' Age – None under the age of 18

Findings (By Provision):

115.14 (a): The PAQ indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at USMCFP Springfield. A review of the daily populations report indicated that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility within the previous twelve months. During the site review, it was observed that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility. The Warden and PCM confirmed that the facility has not and does not house inmates under the age of 18. This provision is, therefore, not applicable to this facility.

115.14 (b): The PAQ indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at USMCFP Springfield within the previous twelve months. During the site review, it was observed that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility. The Warden and PCM confirmed that the facility has not and does not house inmates under the age of 18. This provision is, therefore, not applicable to this facility.

115.14 (c): The PAQ indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at USMCFP Springfield. A review of the daily population reported indicated that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility within the previous twelve months. During the site review, it was observed that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility. The Warden and PCM confirmed that the facility has not and does not house inmates under the age of 18. This provision is, therefore, not applicable to this facility.

Based on a review of the PAQ, the daily population reports, observations made during the site review and information from the interviews with the Warden and PCM, this standard is found to be not applicable for this facility, and is, therefore, compliant.

Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches**115.15 (a)**

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?
 Yes No

115.15 (b)

MCFP Springfield

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)
 Yes No NA
- Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) Yes No NA

115.15 (c)

- Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? Yes No
- Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) Yes No NA

115.15 (d)

- Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? Yes No
- Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? Yes No
- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? Yes No

115.15 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? Yes No
- If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? Yes No

115.15 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5521.06, Program Statement, *Searches of Housing Units, Inmates, and Inmate Work Areas*
3. Memorandum – Limits to cross gender viewing and searching
4. Escort and Search Procedures Annual Training Curriculum
5. Staff Training Records
6. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
7. SPG-5324.12h Institutional Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*

Interviews:

1. Random Staff
2. Random Inmates
3. Transgender Inmates

Site Review Observations:

1. Individual Showers in Housing Units with Visibility Barriers

Findings (By Provision):

115.15 (a): PS 5521.06 discusses visual searches and body cavity searches. This policy indicates that visual searches are to be conducted by staff of the same gender as the inmate, except where circumstances indicate that such a delay would mean the loss of contraband. In such a case, this exception would be documented in the inmate's central file. This policy also indicates that body cavity searches are only conducted by qualified health personnel upon the approval of the Warden or Acting Warden and such searches are documented in the inmate's central file. The PAQ indicated that no searches of this kind were conducted at the facility over the past twelve months.

115.15 (b): USMCFP Springfield houses male inmates. The facility provided PS 5521.06, *Searches of Housing Units, Inmates, and Inmate Work Areas*. This policy states that the facility does not permit cross-gender pat searches of inmates, absent exigent circumstances.

115.15 (c): PS 5521.06 discusses visual searches and body cavity searches. Page 4-5 indicates that visual searches are to be conducted by staff of the same gender as the inmate, except where circumstances indicate that such a delay would mean the loss of contraband. In such a case, this exception would be documented in the inmate's central file. Page 5 indicates that body cavity searches are only conducted by qualified health personnel upon the approval of the Warden or Acting Warden and such searches are documented in the inmate's central file. The PAQ indicated that no cross-gender searches have been conducted in the previous twelve months.

115.15 (d): PS 5324.12 specifies policies and procedures which enable inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Interviews with random inmates and interviews with random staff indicated that inmates have privacy when showering, using the restroom, and changing clothes. Interviews also confirm that staff of the opposite gender announce their presence when entering a housing unit. The auditor observed that the housing units had individual showers with a door which allowed coverage of inmates from the shoulders to the knees. Toilets in these housing units are not in full view of staff.

115.15 (e): A memorandum from the Warden indicated that a staff member shall not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. The PAQ indicated that there had been no searches of this nature within the past twelve months. Interviews with staff indicated that these types of searches were not authorized to be conducted by staff. Interviews with transgender inmates at this facility and interviews with random staff indicated that searches of this nature were not conducted at this facility.

115.15 (f): The Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Annual Training Curriculum indicated that staff are trained on conducting cross gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner on the first day of the annual training. A review of the training curriculum confirms that the training covers cross gender pat-searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates. The PAQ indicated the 100% of staff had received this training. A review of a random sample of training records indicated that the staff reviewed had received the search training, which included a video on searches. Interview with a random sample of staff indicated that they all had received this training during the previous year.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5521.06, PS 5324.12, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program training curriculum, the Memorandum from the Warden, a random sample of staff training records, observations made during the tour of housing units to include bathrooms with individual showers with doors which had solid barriers from shoulder to

knee, the opposite gender announcement as well as information from interviews with random staff and transgender inmates indicate that this standard is compliant.

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

115.16 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)? Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or have low vision? Yes No

115.16 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No

115.16 (c)

- Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. SPG-5324.12h, Institutional Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. DOJ Blanket Purchase Agreement for Telephonic Language Translations
5. PREA Posters – English and Spanish

6. Inmate Admission and Orientation Handbook
7. Memorandum Regarding Inmates with Disabilities
8. USMCFP Springfield – Patient Care Procedure – Special Needs for Language, Hearing, Vision, Educational or Cognitive Impairments
9. LanguageLine Quick Reference Guide
10. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program – Annual Training Plan and Powerpoint

Interviews:

1. Agency Head Designee
2. Limited English Proficient (LEP) Inmates
3. Random Staff

Site Review Observations:

1. PREA Posters in English and Spanish

Findings (By Provision):

115.16 (a): PS 5324.12, page 19 and SPG-5324.12h, establishes guidelines to providing disabled inmates an equal opportunity to benefit from all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PCM is responsible for reaching out to local disabilities assistance offices to ensure the facility is providing effective communication accommodations when needed. A review of PREA signage, PREA directives and inmate informational materials confirmed that information is provided in a manner which is in large font, bright colors and has accompanying pictures to the word directives. A memorandum from the Warden states that the protocol for effective communication about PREA with inmates with disabilities at USMCFP Springfield is as follows: for inmates with limited reading capabilities or visual impairments, the information will be read to the inmate by his unit team; and for inmates with hearing impairments, the information is available in written form (handbook, posters, etc.) For inmates with language needs, procedures are outlined on the Language Line Solution Quick Reference Guide. The facility also provided the Patient Care Procedure. In this procedure, it states that for inmates with language needs, there is a list of staff members who can translate as well as a Spanish interpreter (contracted), and AT&T Translation Service. Health Services also has a UbiDuo device to assist in face-to-face communication between hearing impaired patients and staff. The interview with the Agency Head Designee indicated that inmates receive PREA information in a format that they can understand. During the on-site visit, the auditor was able to interview inmates with limited reading proficiency and LEP inmates. These inmates' files indicated that they received PREA information and they understood the information. During the site review, the PREA signage was observed to be in large text and in bright colors as well as in English and Spanish.

115.16 (b): PS 5324.12, pages 19 and 20 and SPF-5324.12h, establishes the procedure to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are Limited English Proficient (LEP). The PCM is responsible for reaching out to available interpretation services to ensure the facility is providing effective communication accommodations. The facility has several staff who are

bilingual and assist in translation, when needed. The agency has a Blanket Purchase Agreement for on-demand, over-the-phone interpreter services (BPA DJJ12-F-2306) to provide translation services for inmates who are LEP. This is a service the facility can call which will translate information between the staff member and LEP inmate. The facility also has a contracted interpreter who is on-site at the facility daily. A review of PREA posters, the inmate handbook, PREA directives and inmate educational information, confirmed that information is available in both English and Spanish. Interviews with the Agency Head Designee and inmates who are LEP indicated that inmates received PREA information in a format that they can understand. Additionally, the auditor was able to utilize staff interpreters during the audit and spoke with the contracted facility interpreter. A review of a sample of files for LEP inmates indicated that they received PREA information and they understood the information. During the site review, it was observed that PREA signage was posted throughout the facility in English and Spanish.

115.16 (c): PS 5324.12, page 20 and SPG-5324.12h, page 4, prohibits the use of inmate interpreters, readers or any other type of inmate assistants for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, except in limited circumstances where an extended delay could compromise the inmate's safety. The PAQ indicated that there were no instances where an inmate was utilized to interpret, read or provide other types of assistance. Interviews with a random sample of staff indicated that inmates are not utilized to translate for PREA purposes. Interviews with LEP inmates indicated that other inmates were not utilized, however, they did receive assistance from staff related to understanding PREA documents and the information contained in them – these inmates were not completely LEP, but spoke sufficient English to understand.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, SPG-5324.12h, the DOJ Blanket Purchase Agreement for Telephonic Language Translations, a review of PREA signage and information, the inmate handbook, observations made during the site review to include PREA signage as well as interviews with the Agency Head Designee, random staff, and LEP inmates indicates that this standard is compliant.

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

115.17 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No

115.17 (b)

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? Yes No
- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? Yes No

115.17 (c)

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? Yes No
- Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? Yes No

115.17 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? Yes No

115.17 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? Yes No

115.17 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? Yes No
- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? Yes No
- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? Yes No

115.17 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? Yes No

115.17 (h)

- Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 3000.03, Program Statement, *Human Resource Management Manual*, p. 28, 41-45
3. PS 3420.11, Program Statement, *Standards of Employee Conduct*, p. 6-7
4. Standard Form 85P, *Questionnaire for Public Trust Positions*, p. 1
5. BOP Recruiting Flyer
6. National Background Investigations Bureau (NBIB), Fingerprint Submissions
7. General Employment Considerations for Staff
8. Pre-reference Background Check Materials form
9. Personnel Files of Staff
10. Contractor Background Investigation Files

11. Memorandum regarding Requests from Non-BOP Employers
12. Memorandum for Human Resource Managers

Interviews:

1. Human Resource Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.17 (a): PS 3000.03, page 28, indicates that a statement indicating eligible external applicants must meet all application criteria and conditions of employment. The PAQ indicated that the agency will not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates and shall not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates if they have: engaged in sexual abuse in prison, jail, lockup or any other institution; been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated in sexual abuse by force, overt or implied threats of force or coercion. PS 3420.11 was also provided to indicate acts of conduct that the BOP will consider as prohibited which will exclude an applicant from consideration for employment or service as a volunteer. A review of the eligibility questions on the USAJobs application indicated that the three questions are required to be answered electronically for all applicants. A review of personnel files for staff who were hired in the previous twelve months indicated that all had completed an application and as such were required to answer the eligibility questions. Additionally, all staff had a background check completed which included their criminal history, credit history and other record inquiries. All contractors also have a completed background check. The contractors reviewed all had a background check completed prior to enlisting their services. The interview with Human Resource staff indicated that the questions are part of the hiring process.

115.17 (b): The General Employment Considerations for Staff indicates on page 2 that the applicant's character or past conduct might impose a statutory bar to employment or impede employment by adversely impacting on the Bureau's efficiency by jeopardizing the ability to accomplish its mission successfully. The PAQ indicated that the agency considers any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote any staff or enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with an inmate. Human Resource staff indicated that sexual harassment is considered when hiring or promoting staff or enlisting services of any contractors.

115.17 (c): Standard Form 85P and the BOP Recruitment Flyer, indicate that employment is subject to satisfactory completion of a background investigation, which also includes law enforcement and criminal records checks, credit checks, inquiries with previous employers and personal references. The PAQ indicated that all 68 of those hired in the past twelve months that may have contact with inmates had received a criminal background check and prior institutional employers were contacted. A review of personnel files of staff hired in the previous twelve months indicated that 100% had a criminal background check completed and all prior institutional employers contacted. Additionally, all staff are fingerprinted and any future arrest is automatically reported to the agency through the National Background Investigations Bureau. Human Resource staff indicated that all staff are required to have a criminal background check

before they are hired, institutional checks, neighborhood checks and numerous other checks are part of the background investigation process.

115.17 (d): PS 3000.03, pages 42 and 44 indicate that the agency performs criminal background checks before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates via a check of the National Crime Information Center (NCIC). The PAQ indicated that there have been 55 contracts at the facility within the past twelve months. A review of current contractor personnel files indicated that a criminal background check had been conducted. Human Resource staff indicated that all contractors have a criminal background check completed prior to enlisting their services.

115.17 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency requires either background checks to be conducted at least every five years for current employees and contractors or have a system in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees. The agency utilizes the National Background Investigations Bureau. All employees are fingerprinted and all subsequent FBI criminal arrest information is forwarded back to the agency. Additionally, Security and Background Investigation Section (SBIS) tracks the timeline of background investigations for the Bureau. Mass emails are sent to each staff member as well as the Human Resource staff at the facility where the staff works to initiate the re-investigation process for the five-year background check. Staff are required to take the appropriate steps to complete the process by a due date to ensure the background check is completed on time. The interview with the Human Resource staff confirmed that all staff and contractors have a criminal background check completed every five years.

115.17 (f): The PAQ indicates that the agency will ask all applicants and employees who have contact with inmates directly about whether they have: engaged in sexual abuse in prison, jail, lockup or any other institution, been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community or been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual abuse by force, overt or implied threats of force or coercion through a written application, during any interviews or through any written self-evaluations as part of a review of current employees. A review of the eligibility questions on the USAJobs application indicated that the three questions are required to be answered electronically for all applicants. A review of personnel files for staff who were hired in the previous twelve months indicated that all had completed an application and were required to answer the eligibility questions. All staff had a background check completed which included their criminal history, credit history and other record inquiries. Additionally, the interview with Human Resource staff confirmed that these questions are contained on the eligibility questions section on the USAJobs Application, which is required for all applicants.

115.17 (g): The PAQ indicates that material omissions regarding sexual misconduct or the provision of materially false information is grounds for termination. The Questionnaire for Public Trust Positions indicates that falsifying or concealing facts is a felony which can result in fines and up to five years in prison. Human Resource staff confirm that any false information or omissions would result in an employee or contractor being terminated.

115.17 (h): The Memorandum for Human Resource Managers documented that the agency provides information related to substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee to institutional employers for whom the employee has applied to work. Specifically, the memo indicates that all request should be forwarded to the Office of Internal Affairs and that this office will respond to all requests. Human Resource staff indicated that this information would be provided when requested.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 3000.03, PS 3420.11, Standard Form 85P, BOP Recruiting Flyer, General Employment Considerations for Staff, Memorandum for Human Resource Managers, the Eligibility Questions, a review of personnel files for staff and contractors and information obtained from the Human Resource staff interview indicates that this standard is compliant.

Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

115.18 (a)

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
 Yes No NA

115.18 (b)

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Interviews:

1. Agency Head Designee
2. Warden

Site Review Observations:

1. Absence of Modification to the Physical Plant
2. Video Monitoring Technology

Findings (By Provision):

115.18 (a): The facility has not designed, acquired or planned any expansion or modification of the existing facility since the last PREA audit. The interview with the Agency Head Designee and the Warden confirmed that new facility designs, modifications and technology upgrades would be reviewed to see how these modifications or upgrades may enhance the ability to protect inmates against sexual abuse. During the site review of the facility, the auditor did not observe any modifications or expansions.

115.18 (b): The facility has not installed or updated video monitoring technology, electronic surveillance system or other monitoring technology within the current audit period. The PAQ as well as the interview with the Warden confirmed there have been no upgrades or installation of video monitoring technology. The interview with the Director of the BOP and the Warden confirmed that new facility designs, modifications and technology upgrades would be reviewed to determine how these modifications or upgrades may enhance the ability to protect inmates against sexual abuse.

Based on the interviews and observations, this standard is determined to be compliant.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING**Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations****115.21 (a)**

- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
 Yes No NA

115.21 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA
- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.21 (c)

- Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? Yes No
- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? Yes No
- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? Yes No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? Yes No

115.21 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? Yes No
- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency *always* makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) Yes No NA
- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? Yes No

115.21 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? Yes No

- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? Yes No

115.21 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.21 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.21 (h)

- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency *always* makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 6031.04, Program Statement, *Patient Care*
3. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*, p. 22-23
4. Guide For First Responders
5. Memorandum Regarding SANE Services
6. Email regarding Phone Based Services – Victim Center
7. Course Completions for Forensic Medical Exams: An Overview for Victim Advocates
8. Memorandum Regarding Victim Advocacy Support for Forensic Exam
9. Memorandum Regarding Referrals to the OIG and FBI
10. SPG 5324.12h, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention*, p. 4-5
11. Documentation of Psychologist Licensure
12. Policy Memorandum: *Prison Rape Elimination Act Investigative Policy*

Interviews:

1. Random Staff
2. SAFE/SANE staff
3. PREA Compliance Manager
4. Random Inmates
5. Mental Health Staff
6. Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.21 (a): The PAQ indicated that agency investigators are responsible for conducting investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. The Department of Justice Inspector General's Office performs the investigation, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) may offer assistance if needed and necessary. Staff misconduct investigations are performed by the DOJ Inspector General's Office (criminal) and the BOP Office of Internal Affairs (administrative). Interviews with random staff indicated that they are aware of evidence protocol and that they were responsible for preserving evidence.

115.21 (b): The Prison Rape Elimination Act Investigation Policy Memorandum, as well as the PAQ indicates that medical forensic examinations are conducted in accordance with standards set forth in "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adult/Adolescents, DOJ Office of Violence Against Women, second edition, April 2013".

115.21 (c): The Prison Rape Elimination Act Investigation Policy Memorandum, page 10, section 234.13, indicates that all inmate victims of sexual abuse are offered a forensic medical examination, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost. This is also specified in the inmate handbook. PS 6013.04, page 4, specifically states that only in institutions where extreme security concerns exist may an in-house physician be used. A memo from the Vice President for Patient Care Services states that Mercy Hospital in Springfield, Missouri does staff a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) team which is available to provide care in the event a person arrives in the Emergency Room for evaluation of alleged sexual assault. Interviews with medical staff while on-site indicated that there is a local hospital where inmates are taken if outside medical service is needed. The PAQ indicated the during the previous twelve months, there have not been any forensic exams conducted.

115.21 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency attempts to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center and if a rape crisis center is not available, a qualified staff member from a community-based organization or a qualified agency staff member. The PCM indicated that the facility utilizes a licensed psychologist in this capacity, if needed. Documentation was provided for the psychologist of the active license. During the onsite audit, there were no inmates still remaining at the facility who had reported a sexual abuse, however, one inmate was interviewed who reported a sexual abuse at another facility. This individual confirmed knowledge of available victim advocacy. Interviews with random staff and random inmates indicated that an advocate would be contacted and provided in the event of a sexual

abuse. The inmates also have access to the victim advocacy information which is provided by psychology staff and is included in the inmate handbook and information pamphlet.

115.21 (e): The PAQ indicate that, as requested by the victim, the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member or qualified community-based organization staff member shall accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews. The facility memo indicated that inmates are transported to the local hospital for forensic examinations. Additionally, the facility utilizes psychology staff to provide victim support services during the forensic medical exam and during investigatory interviews. The National Sexual Assault Telephone Hotline is also available for inmates to access for victim advocacy. Random interviews with inmates and staff interviews indicated that an advocate would be contacted and provided in the event of sexual abuse. The inmates have access to victim advocacy information as it is included in the inmate handbook and the pamphlet as well as on signage throughout the facility.

115.21 (f): The agency is responsible for conducting administrative investigations while the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. The FBI complies with all investigatory requirements under PREA standards 115.21, 115.34 and 115.71. Additionally, the FBI follows a uniform evidence protocol and utilizes the FBI Domestic Investigations and Operations Guide for training. A memorandum from the facility warden states that the facility investigates allegations of sexually abusive behavior in-house. These investigations are sometimes conducted in cooperation with OIG and the FBI.

115.21 (g): The agency is responsible for conducting administrative investigations while the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. The FBI complies with all investigatory requirements under PREA standards 115.21, 115.34, and 115.71. Additionally, the FBI follows a uniform evidence protocol and utilizes the FBI Domestic Investigations and Operations Guide for training.

115.21 (h): The qualified agency staff member is one of the psychologists at the facility. This staff member has received training titled: Forensic Medical Exams: An Overview for Victim Advocates.

Based on a review of the PAQ, the PREA Investigation Policy Memo, PS 6031.04, PS 5324.12h, the memo related to forensic exams and advocacy, and information from interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager, random inmates and staff, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

115.22 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? Yes No

115.22 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? Yes No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? Yes No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? Yes No

115.22 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA

115.22 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.22 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*, p. 43-44
3. PS 5508.02, Program Statement, *Hostage Situations or Criminal Actions Requiring FBI Presence*

4. Attorney General (AG) Memo – Duty to Report Misconduct and Cooperate with Investigations
5. Rules and Regulations DOJ – Reporting Violations to the Office of the Inspector General and the Office of Professional Responsibility; Delegations of Authority
6. Memorandum of Understanding with the Federal Bureau of Investigation
7. Investigative Reports
8. Attorney General Order Number 2835-2006
9. Email regarding DOJ and OIG Jurisdiction

Interviews:

1. Agency Head Designee
2. Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.22 (a): PS 5324.12, page 43, outlines the administrative and criminal investigative process. The PAQ indicated that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The AG Memo and AG Order 285-2006 indicates that the Office of the Inspector General has the authority to conduct criminal and administrative investigations throughout the agency. The MOU with the FBI as well as PS 5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations related to Title 18 and/or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities. Allegations are reported to the Operations Lieutenant and immediately forwarded to the facility investigator for investigation. The interview with the Director of the BOP indicated that all allegations are investigated. Specifically, the OIG investigates potential criminal cases involving staff-on-inmate sexual abuse; the OIA investigates administrative cases of staff-on-inmate sexual abuse or sexual harassment and the Special Investigative Services (SIS) lieutenant at the facility investigates all other cases. The auditor reviewed a sample of investigative reports from the previous 12 months. These investigations appear to meet the requirements of this standard.

115.22 (b): PS 5324.12, page 43, outlines the administrative and criminal investigative process. The PAQ indicated that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The AG Memo and AG Order 2835-2006 indicates that the Office of the Inspector General has the authority to conduct criminal and administrative investigations throughout the agency. Additionally, the MOU with the FBI as well as PS 5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations related to Title 18 and / or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities. The interview with the investigator indicated that the facility has the legal authority to conduct administrative investigations and that criminal investigations are referred to the FBI. The Bureau of Prisons web site lists the agency's zero tolerance information and provides directions for the public to submit a notification of concern regarding an inmate at the BOP.

The agency PREA policy is posted and can be found at the following web address: www.bop.gov/inmates/custody_and_care/sexual_abuse_prevention.jsp.

115.22 (c): PS 5324.12, page 43, outlines the administrative and criminal investigative process. The PAQ indicated that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The AG Memo and AG Order 2835-2006 indicates that the Office of the Inspector General has the authority to conduct criminal and administrative investigations throughout the Department. Additionally, the MOU with the FBI as well as PS 5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations related to Title 18 and / or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities.

115.22 (d): PS 5324.12, page 43, outlines the administrative and criminal investigative process. The PAQ indicated that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The AG Memo and AG Order 2835-2006 indicates that the Office of the Inspector General has the authority to conduct criminal and administrative investigations throughout the Department. Additionally, the MOU with the FBI as well as PS 5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations related to Title 18 and / or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities.

115.22 (e): The MOU with the FBI as well as PS 5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations related to Title 18 and / or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, PS 5508.02, the AG Order 2835-2006, the MOU with the FBI, the agency's website and information obtained via interviews with the Agency Designee and the investigators, this standard is determined to be compliant.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

115.31 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?
 Yes No

115.31 (b)

- Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? Yes No
- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? Yes No

115.31 (c)

- Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?
 Yes No
- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? Yes No
- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.31 (d)

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. SPG-5324.12h Institution Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Annual Training Curriculum
5. Sample of Staff Training Records (Acknowledgement Form)
6. Memorandum – Entrance Memo for New Staff
7. Memorandum – Welcome New Staff
8. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Powerpoint Training

Interviews:

1. Random Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.31 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 24-25, as well as SPG-5324.12h, indicates that all staff will receive the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention program training during institutional familiarization and yearly thereafter as part of the annual refresher training. A review of the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention program training curriculum outline and PowerPoint slides confirmed that the training includes information on: the agency's zero-tolerance policy, how to fulfill their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures, the inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of the inmate to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse, how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates, how to communicate effectively and professionally with lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex inmates and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting. A review of a sample of staff training records indicated that 100% of those reviewed had received PREA training. Interviews with random staff confirmed that they had received PREA training during annual training and that they receive information through supplemental trainings. Staff confirmed all required topics were covered in the training.

115.31 (b): PS 3524.12, page 25, indicates that the annual refresher training takes into consideration the gender of the inmate population at the facility. The PAQ indicated that training is tailored to the gender of inmate population at the facility and that employees who are reassigned to facilities with opposite gender inmates are given additional training. A review of

the training curriculum confirmed that the annual training includes information on male and female inmates.

115.31 (c): PS 5324.12 indicates that new employees receive the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program training and that current employees receive the training as part of their annual training. A review of documentation confirmed that all of the staff records reviewed had received PREA training and that those hired prior to the previous twelve months had received annual refresher training. The PAQ indicates that in years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, the training is provided through recalls, conference calls, department head meetings and emails.

115.31 (d): The PAQ as well as PS 5324.12, page 26 indicates that all staff are required to physically sign or electronically acknowledge that they received and understood the PREA training. A review of the training records indicate that staff are required to sign a training acknowledgement upon completion of training which states, "I have received and understand the training conducted regarding the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures." A review of a sample of staff training records indicated that staff have signed the acknowledgement form.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, SPG-5324.12h, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program training curriculum, a review of a sample of staff training records as well as interviews with random staff indicate that the facility exceeds this standard. The facility conducts annual training and supplements annual training through emails, trainings, during staff meetings and other mechanisms.

Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

115.32 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? Yes No

115.32 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? Yes No

115.32 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program – Volunteer Orientation and Refresher Training Lesson Plan
3. Volunteer Training Agenda with Signatures of Participants
4. Acknowledgment of Contractor 4-Hour Orientation Training

Interviews:

1. Contractors who have contact with inmates

Findings (By Provision):

115.32 (a): The PAQ indicated that volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency’s policies and procedures on sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All volunteers and contractors are required by the agency to receive the PREA Training for Volunteers. A review of a sample of training documents for contractors indicated that they had received PREA training and the training curriculum contains the ten required points of the standard. Additionally, the interviews conducted with contractors confirmed that they receive PREA training each year and that they were aware of the zero-tolerance policy and knew to immediately report any information regarding a PREA incident to a staff member. There were no volunteers at the facility during the on-site audit and therefore, were not able to be interviewed.

115.32 (b): The information provided by the facility indicates that the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and the level of contact they have with inmates. Additionally, the volunteers and contractors are notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and are informed on how to report such incidents. Volunteers and contractors are required to receive the PREA Training for Volunteers. They may be required to complete the staff PREA training, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, if their level of contact warrants. A review of a sample of training documents for contractors indicated that they had received PREA training. Additionally, the interviews conducted with contractors confirmed that they had received PREA training each year and that they were aware of the zero-tolerance policy and knew to immediately report to a staff member. There were no volunteers at the facility during the on-site audit and therefore, were not able to be interviewed.

115.32 (c): A review of a sample of training documents for contractors and volunteers indicated that all of those reviewed had signed the acknowledgement of training form. The bottom of this form has a section which reads “I am aware and understand the Federal Bureau of Prisons zero-tolerance policy on sexual abuse and sexual harassment. I have been instructed and understand how to report incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.”

Based on a review of the PREA Training for Volunteers, a review of a sample of contractor and volunteer training records, the training agenda as well as the interviews with contractors indicate that this standard is compliant.

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

115.33 (a)

- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No

115.33 (b)

- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? Yes No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? Yes No

115.33 (c)

- Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)? Yes No
- Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate’s new facility differ from those of the previous facility? Yes No

115.33 (d)

- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? Yes No

115.33 (e)

- Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? Yes No

115.33 (f)

- In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*, p. 26-27
3. PS 5290.14, Program Statement, *Admission and Orientation Program*
4. SPG-5324.12h, Institution Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
5. Memorandum Regarding the Protocol for Effective Communication about PREA with Inmates with Disabilities
6. USMCFP Springfield, Missouri – Patient Care Procedure

7. Memorandum Regarding Additional Guidance – Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
8. Bureau’s Admission and Orientation (A&O) Pamphlet on Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention
9. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention, an Overview for Offenders, January 2023, English and Spanish
10. Institution Admission and Orientation Program Checklist – Inmate Training Records
11. Inmate Admission and Orientation Handbook

Interviews:

1. Intake Staff
2. Random Inmates

Site Review Observations:

1. Intake Area
2. PREA Signs in English and Spanish

Findings (By Provision):

115.33 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 26-27, outlines the requirement for inmates to receive PREA education. Page 26 specifically states that inmates receive information on the agency’s zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicion of sexual abuse or sexual harassment via the A&O pamphlet on Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention. The facility provided the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention, an Overview for Offenders which is given to inmates at the facility. The PAQ indicated that 972 inmates received information at the time of intake during the past twelve months on the zero-tolerance policy and how to report. A review of inmate records indicate that they had received PREA information at intake. During the site review, the auditor observed the intake area and was provided an overview of the intake process. Inmates are provided the inmate handbook and were also asked the risk screening questions during this time. The interview with intake staff indicated that the facility provides inmates information related to the zero-tolerance policy and reporting mechanism via the A&O handbook. Inmates that were interviewed indicated that they received information the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies.

115.33 (b): PS 5324.12, pages 26-27, outlines the requirement for inmates to receive PREA education. Page 27 specifically discusses the comprehensive education that is provided to the inmates. The policy indicates that during the A&O program, a designated staff member will present the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program. A review of the training document (available in English and Spanish) indicated that inmates are educated on definitions, the zero-tolerance policy, ways to prevent sexual abuse, how to report, information on the investigative process, counseling programs for victims and management programs for abusers. The facility also provided the Patient Care Procedure which specifies how information will be communicated with inmates who have disabilities or other special needs. The PAQ indicated that 744 inmates received comprehensive PREA education within 30 days of intake. A review of inmate records indicate that they had received comprehensive PREA education

within 30 days of inmate. Interviews with inmates indicated that they received information on the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies.

115.33 (c): The PAQ indicated that all current inmates at the facility had been educated on PREA. Additionally, PS 5324.12, page 27, indicates that the agency requires that all inmates who are transferred from one facility to another be educated regarding their rights under PREA to the extent that the policies and procedures of the new facility differ from those of the previous facility. All inmates are typically educated upon transfer, whether policies and procedures differ or not. The interview with the intake staff indicated that all inmates who arrive at the facility go through R&D, receive the handbook and then go through A&O orientation.

115.33 (d): The PAQ indicated that PREA education is available in accessible formats for disabled and Limited English Proficient (LEP) inmates. The facility has staff members who are fluent in Spanish to provide accommodations for inmates who are LEP. The A&O pamphlet as well as the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program is available in English and Spanish. The facility also provided a memorandum which specifies additional guidance to ensure consistency in standard notifications to inmates regarding the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program policy. Interviews were conducted with LEP inmates through a staff translator. These inmates stated that they were provided PREA information in Spanish and were assisted by staff to help them understand the PREA information. A review of LEP inmate files indicated that all received PREA information in a format they could understand.

115.33 (e): PS 5290.14, page 10 indicates that inmates are required to sign a copy of the A&O pamphlet at intake and that the original is placed in the inmates' central file. Additionally, the education is documented on the Institution A&O Program Checklist (Form BP-A0518) and the Unit A&O Program Checklist (Form BP-A0597). A review of inmate files indicate that they were documented to have received PREA education.

115.33 (f): The PAQ indicated that information is continuously available through posters, inmate handbooks or other written forms for the inmate population. A review of documentation indicated that the facility had PREA information via the orientation handbook, the A&O pamphlet and through PREA signage. During the site review, the auditor observed the PREA signage in each housing unit and in common areas.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, PS 5290.14, SPG-5324.12h, the A&O pamphlet, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, the orientation handbook, a review of inmate records, observations made during the site review to include the availability of PREA information via signage and documents as well as information obtained during interviews with intake staff and random inmates indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

115.34 (a)

MCFP Springfield

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA

115.34 (b)

- Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA

115.34 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA

115.34 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, p. 28
3. Investigator Training Records

Interviews:

1. Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.34 (a): PS 5324.12, page 28, and the PAQ indicate that investigators are required to be trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in a confinement setting. This training is completed through two curriculums: the DOJ/OIG PREA Training for the NIC: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting. A review of the facility investigator training records indicated that the training had been completed. Additionally, a review of training records revealed that additional facility staff have completed the NIC training. The interview with the investigator indicated that specialized training was completed. The NIC training was completed and refresher training is completed annually.

115.34 (b): P5324.12, page 28, and the PAQ indicates that investigators are required to be trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in a confinement setting. This training is completed through two curriculums: the DOJ/OIG PREA Training or the NIC: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting. A review of the training curriculums confirmed they included the following: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or criminal prosecution. A review of the facility investigators' training records indicated that they had completed the training. Additionally, a review of training records revealed that additional facility staff have completed the NIC training. The interview with the investigator indicated they received specialized training and they complete the NIC training annually.

115.34 (c): The PAQ indicated that there are 3 facility investigators who the agency currently employs to conduct investigations at the facility and indicated that these staff have received specialized training. A review of the facility investigators' training records indicated that the investigators had completed the specialized training. The interview with a facility investigator indicated they received specialized training online through NIC and that it is documented.

115.34 (d): All criminal sexual abuse allegations are referred to the OIG or FBI for investigation, and possible criminal prosecution. When a referral is declined or the allegation does not contain a criminal element, the investigation is conducted by Bureau of Prisons investigators.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, the DOJ/OIG PREA Training curriculum, the NIC training curriculum, a review of investigator training records as well as interviews with investigative staff, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

115.35 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA

115.35 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.) Yes No NA

115.35 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA

115.35 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)

Yes No NA

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*, p. 28-29
3. Specialized Training – Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Psychology Services
4. Medical and Mental Health Staff Training Records

Interviews:

1. Medical and Mental Health Staff

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations during the on-site review of the physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.35 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 28-29, requires that the Health Services Division or the Reentry Services Division ensure all medical and mental health care staff complete the required specialized training. The training consists of the PREA Resource Center (PRC) PREA Medical and Mental Health Care: A Trauma Informed Approach training as well as the Forensic Medical Examinations: An Overview for Victim Advocates training. Based on a review of the training modules, they include the following topics: how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how and whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated that the facility has 39 medical and mental health staff and that 100% of these staff received the specialized training. A review of medical and mental health training records indicated that those sampled had received the specialized training. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed that they had received the PREA specialized training.

115.35 (b): This provision does not apply. Forensic exams are not conducted on-site by any of the facility's medical staff. Interviews with medical staff confirm that they do not perform forensic medical examinations and that inmates are transported to a local hospital for forensic examinations.

115.35 (c): Documentation showing the completion of training is maintained by the agency. A review of training documents for medical and mental health care staff confirm that the completed training is documented via a training certificate.

115.35 (d): Medical and mental health care staff are considered correctional workers. A review of medical and mental health staff members' training documents indicated that 100% of those reviewed completed the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention training.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, the training curriculums, a review of the medical and mental health care staff training records as well as interviews with medical and mental health care staff indicate that this standard is compliant.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

115.41 (a)

- Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? Yes No
- Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? Yes No

115.41 (b)

- Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?
 Yes No

115.41 (c)

- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?
 Yes No

115.41 (d)

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? Yes No

115.41 (e)

- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior acts of sexual abuse? Yes No
- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior convictions for violent offenses? Yes No

- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?
 Yes No

115.41 (f)

- Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? Yes No

115.41 (g)

- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral? Yes
 No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request? Yes
 No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?
 Yes No

115.41 (h)

- Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? Yes No

115.41 (i)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*, p. 29-32
3. SPG-5324.12h, Institution Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument
5. Memorandum from Warden regarding Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness
6. Intake Screening Form

Interviews:

1. Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
2. Random Inmates
3. PREA Coordinator
4. PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

1. Risk Screening Area
2. Locations of Inmate File Storage

Findings (By Provision):

115.41(a): PS 5324.12, pages 29-32 describe the risk screening process. It indicates that inmates will be assessed during the intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates. PS 5324.12 further states that if an inmate is determined to be at risk of being sexually victimized by or being sexually abusive toward other inmates, they will be referred to Psychology Services for a reassessment. During the site review, the auditor observed the inmate intake area. The risk screening is conducted in private offices in intake. Interviews with random inmates confirm that they were asked questions either the same day or the next day after their arrival at the facility. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates are screened using the screening instrument.

115.41 (b): PS 5324.12, page 39, indicates that all inmates will be assessed within 72 hours of arrival at the facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates. The PAQ indicated that inmates are screened within this timeframe and that 100% of those whose length of stay was for 72 hours or more received the risk screening within 72 hours. A review of a sample of inmate records confirmed that they were all screened within 72 hours.

115.41 (c): The PAQ indicated that the risk screening is conducted using an objective screening instrument. A review of the Intake Screening Form indicated that inmates are asked “yes” or “no” questions and a few of these questions are then utilized on the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument. The screening instrument includes sections that are determined based on the inmate’s history (which can be found in her/his file). The facility provided a memorandum

from the Warden regarding the risk of sexual abusiveness and/or victimization screening process. Unit Team, Medical and Psychology Services staff screen all incoming inmates for risk of sexual abusiveness and/or victimization. Unit Team refers inmates determined at elevated risk for sexual abuse to Psychology Services for additional screening based on the results of the Objective Intake Screening. Psychology Services prepares a Risk of Sexual Abusiveness and/or Risk of Sexual Victimization note(s) in response to the referral, which is, in turn, shared with the Captain's Office and Unit Team to inform assignments to housing, programs, work, etc. Psychology Services completes additional Risk of Sexual Abusiveness and/or Sexual Victimization assessments in response to new / additional risk information / data, which is, in turn, shared with the Captain's Office and Unit Team. SPG-5324.12h p. 2 specifies the notification procedures regarding the risk assessment. Documentation was provided of the completed inmate intake risk screenings.

115.41 (d): A review of the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument indicates that the intake screening considers the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: whether the inmate has a mental, physical or developmental disability; the age of the inmate; the physical build of the inmate; whether the inmate was previously incarcerated; whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent; whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child; whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender non-conforming and whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes. The Intake Form takes into consideration whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization and the inmate's own perception of vulnerability. The Intake Form information is then transferred over to be included in the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument. Interviews with staff who perform the risk screening indicated that the required components are included and that the majority of the questions are yes or no format, with a few that are open-ended.

115.41 (e): A review of the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument confirms that the intake screening considers the following: prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses and prior institutional violence or sexual abuse known to the facility. Interviews with intake staff confirm that these criteria are considered and utilized to determine if the inmate is a potential predator and how to house accordingly. Interviews with staff who perform the risk screening indicated that the required components are included and that the majority of the questions are yes or no format, with a few that are open-ended.

115.41 (f): PS 5324.12, page 32 indicates that inmates would be reassessed for the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness within 30 days from their arrival by facility staff, ordinarily Psychology Services and Unit Management staff. The PAQ indicated that the facility requires inmates to be reassessed within 30 days of their arrival and that the inmates whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more were reassessed for their risk of sexual victimization or of being sexually abusive. Interviews with staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates are reassessed within 30 days. Interviews with random inmates indicated that some did not remember a reassessment. A review of a sample of inmate files indicated that inmates were reassessed within the 30-day timeframe.

115.41 (g): PS 5324.12, page 32, indicates that inmates would be reassessed for their risk of victimization or abusiveness when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information that bears on their risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. This reassessment would be completed by Psychology Services staff. Interviews with staff indicated that any inmate who alleged sexual abuse would be administered a reassessment during their mental health evaluation. The necessary information would then be passed on to unit management staff to review. Interviews with staff responsible for risk screening indicated that inmates are also reassessed when warranted. The interviews with random inmates indicated that some did not remember the risk assessment being conducted after the initial time. A review of a sample of inmate files indicated that inmates were reassessed, when warranted.

115.41 (h): PS 5324.12, page 32, indicates that inmates would not be disciplined for refusing to answer the following questions during the risk screening: whether or not the inmate has a mental, physical or developmental disability; whether or not the inmate is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming; whether or not the inmate previously experienced sexual victimization and the inmate's own perception of vulnerability. The PAQ indicated that inmates are not disciplined for refusing to answer. The interview with the staff responsible for risk screening indicated that inmates are not disciplined for refusing to answer any of the questions in the risk screening.

115.41 (i): PS 5324.12, page 32 as well as the PAQ indicated that the agency has implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination of the screening information to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates. Specifically, the policy states that information is disseminated on a need-to-know basis for staff. Interviews with the PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager and staff responsible for the risk screening indicate that the information obtained during the risk screening is limited to staff who have a need to know. This would include the Unit Team and those individuals who determine housing and work assignments.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, SPG-5324.12h, the Intake Screening Form, the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument, a review of inmate files and information from interview with the PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, staff responsible for conducting the risk screenings and random inmates indicate that this standard is compliant.

Standard 115.42: Use of screening information

115.42 (a)

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? Yes No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? Yes No

115.42 (b)

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? Yes No

115.42 (c)

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the **agency** consider, on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? Yes No
- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? Yes No

115.42 (d)

- Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? Yes No

115.42 (e)

- Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Yes No

115.42 (f)

- Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? Yes No

115.42 (g)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) Yes No NA
- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) Yes No NA
- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

- Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
- SPG-5324.12h, Institution Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
- FBOP CIM Clearance and Separatee Data
- Memorandum from the Warden regarding the Use of Screening Information

6. USMCFP Springfield, Missouri SHU Meeting Minutes – Special Housing Multi-Disciplinary Team Review
7. Individualized Needs Plan – Program Review

Interviews:

1. Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
2. PREA Coordinator
3. PREA Compliance Manager
4. Random Inmates, Transgender Inmates and Gay/Bisexual Inmates

Site Review Observations:

1. Location of Inmate Records
2. Housing Assignments of Inmates
3. Shower Area in Housing Units

Findings (By Provision):

115.42 (a): PS 5324.12, page 33 and SGP-5324.12h indicate that the agency uses the information from the risk screening to recommend housing, bed, work, education and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate inmates at high risk of being sexually abused from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. Specifically, the policies indicate that all inmates who are determined to be “at risk” will be reviewed quarterly at a PREA meeting with psychologists, SOMP staff, Unit Managers, Chief of Correctional Services, Camp Administrator and SIS staff. During this meeting, staff will take into account any new information and will reassess the inmate’s risk level to better be able to address the inmate’s needs and ensure the inmate a humane living environment. Transgender and intersex inmates will be reassessed during this meeting to ensure appropriate housing. The memorandum from the Warden stated that information from the PREA Intake Screening Instrument will be used to inform housing, bed, work, education and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. The interview with the PCM indicated that information is given to Psychology Services and if they have any concerns, a decision would be made regarding the need for more appropriate housing. The Unit Manager reviews the list of inmates to ensure inmates are housed appropriately. Copies of the meeting minutes was provided to the auditor for review. The interviews with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated the lists of inmates are reviewed and a list is updated weekly which is used to determine housing, work and program assignments. The staff members indicated they would also check periodically to ensure that the recommendations for housing, program and work assignments are being followed. A review of inmate files and of inmate housing and work assignments confirmed that inmates at high risk of victimization and inmates at high risk of being sexually abusive are not housed together. Additionally, they do not work together nor attend education or other programs together, to the extent possible.

115.42 (b): PS 5324.12 and the PAQ indicated that the agency makes individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that the Unit Manager will review the lists of inmates to ensure that inmates are housed appropriately.

115.42 (c): PS 5324.12 indicates that housing and program assignments for transgender and intersex inmates are considered on a case-by-case basis to ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether the placement would present management or security problems. The PAQ indicated that this practice is taking place within the agency. The interview with the PCM indicated that the agency male and female housing unit determinations are made on a case-by-case basis by the Executive Staff. The local facility housing determinations for transgender inmates are also on a case-by-case basis. The interviews with transgender inmates indicated that they were asked about their safety by staff at the facility during intake screening and they did not feel they were housed specifically due to their sexual identity. The auditor was provided with several completed documents of CIM Clearance and Separatee Data.

115.42 (d): PS 5324.12 indicates that housing and program assignments for transgender and intersex inmates are reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to the inmate's safety. The interview with the PCM and staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that transgender and intersex inmates are reassessed at least twice a year. Documentation was provided to the auditor of several inmate Individualized Needs Plan – Program Reviews.

115.42 (e): PS 5324.12 indicates that the transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her safety is given serious consideration. The interview with the PCM and staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that transgender and intersex inmates are asked about their safety during the assessments and the information is given serious consideration. The interviews with random inmates as well as transgender inmates indicated that they were asked about their safety by staff at the facility during intake.

115.42 (f): PS 5324.12 indicates that transgender and intersex inmates are given the opportunity to shower separately. During the on-site review, it was confirmed that all inmates are provided privacy while showering. The facility housing units all contain multiple single person showers with doors which provide privacy. The interview with the PCM and the staff responsible for risk screening confirmed that transgender and intersex inmates can shower separately. Interviews with random inmates and transgender inmates indicated that they are able to shower separately from other inmates.

115.42 (g): PS 5324.12, the PAQ and a review of housing assignments indicate that all of the housing units are the same. The interviews with PC and PCM confirmed that LGB inmates are not placed in one specific housing unit. The interviews with random inmates as well as LGB inmates and transgender inmates all indicated that they were not housed in a unit specifically due to their identification status.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, SPG-5324.12h, the memo from the warden, Individualized Needs Plans, CIM Clearance and Separatee data, a review of inmate housing assignments, and information from interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager, staff responsible for conducting risk screenings, random inmates, and LBGTI inmates, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

115.43 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? Yes No
- If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? Yes No

115.43 (b)

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? Yes No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? Yes No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? Yes No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? Yes No
- If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility *never* restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) Yes No NA
- If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility *never* restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) Yes No NA
- If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility *never* restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) Yes No NA

115.43 (c)

- Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? Yes No
- Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? Yes No

115.43 (d)

- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? Yes No
- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? Yes No

115.43 (e)

- In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Memorandum from the Warden Regarding Involuntary Segregated Housing

Interviews:

1. Warden
2. Staff Who Supervise Inmates in Segregated Housing

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of the Facility / Special Housing Unit

Findings (By Provision):

115.43 (a): PS 5324.12 indicates that the agency does not place inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary special housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and no alternative is available to separate the inmate victims from likely abusers. The PAQ indicated there have been no instances where inmates have been placed in involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual victimization.

115.43 (b): PS 5324.12 indicates that if an inmate was placed in special housing, that they would have access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities to the extent possible and all limitations would be documented with the indication of the reason and length of time of the limitation. The policy indicates that the Chief of Correctional Services is responsible for documenting any such limitations, duration and rationale. The interviews with random staff were conducted and indicated that inmates would have access to everything except a work assignment.

115.43 (c): PS 5324.12 indicates that if an inmate was placed in special housing due to risk of victimization they would only be placed in this status until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers could be arranged, and such assignment would not ordinarily exceed 30 days. The policy indicates that the Warden would review, complete and sign BP-A1002 form and place a copy in the inmate's central file. The PAQ indicated that there has been no instance where an inmate has been placed in involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual victimization. The interview with the Warden indicated that inmates would only be placed in involuntary special housing until an alternative means of separation could be arranged.

115.43 (d): PS 5324.12 indicates that if an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made, that the facility will clearly document the basis for the concern for the inmate's safety and the reasons that no alternative means of separation can be arranged. Additionally, policy indicates that the inmate will receive mental health services at least every 30 days. The PAQ indicated there has been no instance where an inmate has been placed in involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual victimization.

115.43 (e): PS 5324.12 and the PAQ indicate that if an inmate was placed in segregated housing due to risk of victimization, they would be reviewed every 30 days to determine if there was a continued need for the inmate to be separated from the general population. Specifically, policy indicates that inmates would be reviewed weekly at the Special Housing Unit Meeting. There were no inmates housed in segregated housing for risk of sexual victimization or who allege to have suffered sexual abuse during the time of the on-site audit.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, the memo from the warden, observations from the site review, as well as information from the interview with the Warden and staff indicate that this standard is determined to be compliant.

REPORTING

Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

115.51 (a)

MCFP Springfield

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? Yes No

115.51 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? Yes No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? Yes No
- Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? Yes No
- Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility *never* houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes) Yes No NA

115.51 (c)

- Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? Yes No
- Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.51 (d)

- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. SPG-5324.12h, Institution Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. Memo from Facility Warden regarding PREA Inmate Reporting Procedures
5. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention – An Overview for Offenders
6. PREA Posters – Zero Tolerance Policy
7. PREA Compliance Manager Information Tracking Log

Interviews:

1. Random Staff
2. Random Inmates
3. PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

1. Observation of PREA Reporting Information in Housing Units

Findings (By Provision):

115.51 (a): PS 5324.12 indicates that the agency provides multiple ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. A review of additional documentation to include the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention inmate education and PREA signage and SPG-5324.12h indicated that there are multiple ways for inmates to report. These methods include: to any employee, contractor or volunteer via a “drop-note” or other written communication; via a grievance (administrative remedy); to the OIG either via a written letter or via the inmate’s TRULINCS email. Additionally, inmates can report via third-party. The third-party can call, write or email. They are also able to voice a concern on the agency website at: <https://www.bop.gov/inmates/concerns.jsp>. During the on-site review, it was observed that information pertaining to how to report PREA allegations was posted in all housing units. Interviews with a sample of inmates confirm that they are aware of the methods to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment and that they were informed of these methods. Most inmates indicated that they would tell a staff member, do it through the computer (copout or email) or via inmate phone (hotline). Interviews with random staff confirm that they take all allegations seriously and that inmates have multiple ways (written, verbal, electronic, anonymous and third-party) to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

115.51 (b): PS 5324.12 indicates that the agency has a way for inmates to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity that is not part of the agency, and that the entity can immediately forward the information to agency officials. Inmates can report to the OIG via their TRULINCS email or via a written letter. Additionally, third parties can contact the OIG on behalf of the inmate. During the on-site review, it was observed that information pertaining to how to

report PREA allegations was posted in all housing units. All inmates have access to the computer email system and can send a confidential email directly to the Office of the Inspector General. The interview with the PCM indicated that the outside information is located on posters and they can send information to the OIG. The PCM indicated that the information would be forwarded back to the facility investigator or to SIS if it involved staff. Interviews with a sample of inmates confirm that most are aware of the email reporting mechanism and that the information is posted in their housing area.

115.51 (c): PS 5324.12 and SPG-5324.12h state that staff are required to accept all reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously and from a third-party and will promptly document any verbal reports. The memo from the Warden as well as the PAQ indicated that staff accept all reports and that they immediately document any verbal allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Interviews with a sample of inmates confirm that they are aware of the methods available for reporting. Interviews with a sample of staff indicate they accept all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and they immediately report any allegation to the Operations Lieutenant and fill out the form on the computer.

115.51 (d): PS 5324.12 describes that the agency provides a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. The PAQ and policy indicate that staff can privately report to the Office of the Inspector General, Office of Internal Affairs or any supervisory staff. Additionally, staff are informed of the way to report via BOP PREA Notices and via PS 3420.11. Interviews with a sample of staff indicate that they can privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates to facility leadership or through the form on the computer.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, SPG-5324.12h, the Memo from the Warden, PREA signage, observations from the facility site review related to PREA signage and posted information and interviews with the PCM, random inmates and random staff, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

115.52 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. Yes No

115.52 (b)

- Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

- If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA

115.52 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (g)

- If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 1330.18, Program Statement, *Administrative Remedy Program*
3. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention – An Overview for Offenders – English and Spanish
4. PREA At a Glance
5. Memo from Warden regarding Filing of Grievances in the Past 12 Months
6. Inmate Admission and Orientation Handbook

Interviews:

1. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.52 (a): PS 1330.18 is the policy related to inmate grievances / administrative remedy. The PAQ indicated that the agency is not exempt from this standard.

115.52 (b): PS 1330.18 describes the grievance process for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Specifically, page 4 indicates that the agency does not impose a time limit on when an inmate may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. Page 4 also discusses that the agency does not require an inmate to use the informal grievance process, or attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. A review of the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention education, page 3 discusses administrative remedy procedures. The PAQ indicated that there was one (1) allegation filed at the facility in the past 12 months as a grievance.

115.52 (c): PS 1330.18 outlines the grievance process for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Pages 6 and 14 specifically state that the inmate may submit a grievance without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint and grievances will not be referred to staff members who are the subject of the complaint. A review of the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention education, page 3 discusses administrative remedy procedures. The PAQ indicated that The PAQ indicated that there was one (1) PREA allegation filed at the facility in the past 12 months as a grievance.

115.52 (d): PS 1330.18, page 14, section d, outlines the grievance process for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Specifically, the policy states that the agency would issue a final decision on grievances related to sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing. The 90 days does not include the time used by the inmate to prepare any administrative appeal. The agency may claim an extension up to 70 days if the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision. The inmate must be notified in writing of the extension and be provided a date by which the decision will be made. The policy also indicates that if the inmate does not receive a response within the allotted timeframe, the inmate will consider the absence of a response to be a denial. The PAQ indicated that there was one (1) PREA allegation filed at the facility in the past 12 months as a grievance. Interviews with random inmates indicated that they were aware of the grievance process.

115.52 (e): PS 1330.18, page 14, section e, outlines the grievance process for third-party allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Specifically, the policy states that third parties are permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies for sexual abuse and are permitted to file such requests on behalf of the inmate. In addition, the policy states that if a third-party files a report on behalf of an inmate, the agency may require the alleged victim to agree with the request prior to filing and if the inmate declines, the inmate will be required to complete a sworn affidavit stating that he does not want the grievance to proceed. The PAQ indicated that there have not been any third-party grievances filed in the previous twelve months.

115.52 (f): PS 1330.18, page 14, section f, outlines the grievance process for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Specifically, the policy states that the agency provides inmates the opportunity to file an emergency grievance alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the grievance will be addressed immediately. The policy indicates that an initial response will be provided within 48 hours and that a final decision will be provided within five calendar days. The final decision will document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency grievance. The PAQ indicated that there have been zero emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse filed in the previous twelve months.

115.52 (g): PS 1330.18, page 16, indicates that inmates may be disciplined for filing a grievance in bad faith. The PAQ indicated that no inmates have been disciplined for filing a grievance in bad faith in the previous twelve months.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 1330.18, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention – An Overview for Offender, the memo from the warden and interviews with random inmates, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

115.53 (a)

- Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Yes No
- Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility *never* has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) Yes No NA

- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? Yes No

115.53 (b)

- Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Yes No

115.53 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. SPG-5324.12h, Institution Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. Memorandum from Warden regarding Inmate Access to Outside Confidential Support Services
5. Information on National Sexual Assault Telephone Hotline

Interviews:

1. Random Inmates
2. Inmate Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.53 (a): PS 5324.12, page 36 and SPG-5324.12h, page 8, indicates that the agency provides access to outside victim advocates for emotional support related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers to victim advocates or rape crisis organizations and enables reasonable communication in as confidential a manner as possible. The PAQ indicated that inmates were provided mailing addresses and phone numbers and that they enabled reasonable communication with these services in as confidential a manner as possible. The Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention inmate education indicates that inmates can contact the services by telephone or by sending a letter. Psychology Services also provide inmates with advocacy services, as needed. Psychology Services staff are trained on how to provide support services. The National Sexual Assault hotline is also provided to the inmates. Interviews with random inmates indicated that most were familiar with the services provided by Psychology Services. Inmates are not detained solely for civil immigration purposes at this facility, therefore, that part of the provision does not apply. Interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse and random inmates indicated that they were aware of the services provided by Psychology Services.

115.53 (b): PS 5324.12, page 36, indicates that prior to giving inmates access to outside support services, they are informed of the extent which communication will be monitored as well as any mandatory reporting rules and limits to confidentiality. Policy indicates that confidential is not the same as privileged communication and such communication is monitored consistent with security practices. Interviews with random inmates indicate that most were familiar with the advocacy information and stated that information is provided to them in their packet of information they are given at intake and orientation. Interviews with inmates indicated that they were aware of the services provided by Psychology Services. Most inmates indicated they believed that any contact with these services would be confidential. Inmates are not detained solely for civil immigration purposes at this facility, therefore, that part of the provision does not apply.

115.53 (c): The facility has been unable to obtain an MOU with an outside provider to provide emotional support services to inmates for issues related to sexual abuse. A memo was provided to the auditor documenting the facility attempts to do so.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, SPG-5324.12h, the documentation from the Chief Psychologist regarding attempts to obtain an MOU with an outside provider for victim advocacy services, observations from the facility site review related to PREA signage and posted information and interviews with random inmates and targeted inmates this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

115.54 (a)

MCFP Springfield

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Zero Tolerance Policy (PREA signage in English and Spanish)

Findings (By Provision):

115.54 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and publicly distributes that information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate. A review of the agency’s website confirms that third parties can report on behalf of an inmate via the “voice your inmate concern” form located at <https://www.bop.gov/inmates/concerns.jsp>.

Based on a review of the PAQ and the agency’s website and posted PREA signage, this standard is determined to be compliant.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

115.61 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? Yes No

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? Yes No

115.61 (b)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? Yes No

115.61 (c)

- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Yes No
- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? Yes No

115.61 (d)

- If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? Yes No

115.61 (e)

- Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*

Interviews:

1. Random Staff
2. Medical and Mental Health Staff
3. Warden
4. PREA Coordinator
5. PREA Compliance Manager

Findings (By Provision):

115.61 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 37-38, outline the staff and agency reporting duties. The policy requires all staff to report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation against any inmate or staff that reported such incidents and any staff neglect or violation of responsibility that may have contributed to an incident to the Operations Lieutenant. Staff are required to provide a written follow-up memo to the Operations Lieutenant. The Lieutenant is then required to notify the PREA Compliance Manager. The allegation is then entered into the Bureau's intelligence database. The PAQ along with interviews with random staff confirm that they take all allegations seriously and that they know they are required to report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Interviews with random staff also confirmed they would report retaliation or any staff neglect related to these incident types.

115.61 (b): PS 5324.12, page 38, describes that information is on a need-to-know basis and that information is only utilized for the inmate's welfare and the investigation of the incident. The PAQ along with interviews with random staff confirm that they would immediately report the information to the Operations Lieutenant. Interviews with random staff indicated they would only provide information to the Operations Lieutenant by filling out the PREA form that is on each facility computer.

115.61 (c): PS 5324.12, page 38, indicates that medical and mental health staff are required to report sexual abuse as described in section (a) and that they are required to inform inmates of their duty to report and the limits to confidentiality at the initiation of services. The PAQ along with interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that they would immediately report any allegation of sexual abuse that occurred within a confinement setting. Medical and mental health care staff indicated that they inform inmates of the limits of confidentiality.

115.61 (d): PS 5324.12, page 38, indicates that any alleged victims under the age of eighteen or considered to be a vulnerable adult would require the agency to report the allegation to the designated State or local service agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws. The PAQ along with interviews with the PCM and the Warden indicated that they had not had any of these reports, but if they did, they would report the allegation and then also report to the appropriate state or local service agency as required under the mandatory reporting laws. The facility does not house any youthful inmates.

115.61 (e): PS 5324.12, page 38, indicates that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports would be reported to the facility's designated investigators. The PAQ along with the interview with the Warden confirmed that this is the practice. A review of investigative reports indicate that all allegations are reported initially to SIS and then forwarded to OIA, OIG or the FBI as required by policy.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, investigative reports and interviews with random staff, medical and mental health staff, the PC, PCM and the Warden confirm this standard is compliant.

Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

115.62 (a)

- When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Memorandum from the Warden regarding the Agency Protection Duties
4. Email Regarding PREA At Risk List and Transgender Tracking
5. PREA Compliance Manager Information Tracking Log

Interviews:

1. Agency Head Designee
2. Warden
3. Random Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.62 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 38-39, indicate that when the agency learns that an inmate is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the inmate victim. The policy states that the Operations Lieutenant will be notified and they will take immediate action to safeguard the inmate victim. This action may include monitoring the situation, making changes in housing assignments, changes in work assignments, placing the alleged victim and perpetrator in special housing, reassignment of the staff member to another post or removal of the staff member from the facility. The PAQ noted that there was one inmate who was determined to be at risk of imminent sexual abuse within the last 12 months. Interviews indicated that if an inmate is at imminent risk, that staff would immediately contact the Operations Lieutenant and remove the inmate victim from the situation. In the three incidents previously indicated, the inmate victim was removed from the area and steps taken to protect the inmate. The interviews with the Agency Head Designee and the Warden indicated that any inmate at risk would be immediately safeguarded and then additional steps would be taken depending on the situation. The inmate victim may require a change in job assignment, housing assignment and/or program assignment. The inmate may be transferred to another facility or the perpetrator may be transferred to another facility. Inmates may be placed in special housing for the least amount of time necessary for a determination to be made regarding the safety of the inmate victim. Additionally, the interviews indicated that appropriate measures may also include moving a staff member's work assignment or removing the staff from the facility until the investigation is complete.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, the Memo from the Warden, and interviews with the Agency Head Designee, Warden and random staff, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities

115.63 (a)

- Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? Yes No

115.63 (b)

- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? Yes No

115.63 (c)

- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? Yes No

115.63 (d)

- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Memo from the Warden regarding Reporting to Other Confinement Facilities
4. SPG-5324.12h, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention*

Interviews:

1. Agency Head Designee
2. Warden

Findings (By Provision):

115.63 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 39-40, describe the requirements for reporting to other confinement facilities. The policy requires that upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Warden will notify the appropriate staff (Warden/Office of Internal Affairs) within the agency or the appropriate office if it is outside of the agency. A Memorandum from the Warden stated that during the previous twelve months, the facility had three (3) allegations that an inmate was abused while confined at another facility. Documentation was provided to the auditor of the memorandums sent by the Warden to the wardens of the other facilities.

115.63 (b): PS 5324.12, page 40, describes the requirements for reporting to other confinement facilities. The policy requires that upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the notification will be made as soon as possible, but not later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. The facility has received three (3) allegations in the past 12 months from inmates that they were sexual abused while confined at another facility. A review of the notifications of allegations indicated that notification to the warden where the alleged abuse occurred was made within the 72-hour requirement.

115.63 (c): The facility has received three (3) allegations in the past 12 months from inmates that they were sexual abused while confined at another facility. A review of the notifications of allegations indicated that notification to the warden where the alleged abuse occurred was made within the 72-hour requirement. Documentation of the notification meets this standard.

115.63 (d): PS 5324.12, page 40, indicates that the facility head or agency head that receives notification that an inmate alleges they were sexually abused shall ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards. The facility did receive three (3) notifications from another facility in the past 12 months of inmates alleging sexual abuse. These allegations were immediately referred to the SIS for investigation. The interview with the Director of the BOP indicated that information of this nature is typically provided to the Warden of the institution where the alleged incident occurred. The Agency Head Designee indicated that allegations of this nature are then investigated either locally at the facility or via OIA. The interview with the Warden confirmed that when the facility receives these allegations, they are immediately forwarded for investigation.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, SPG 5324.12h, memos from the Warden and interviews with the Agency Head Designee and Warden, as well as documentation provided of the actions taken regarding the allegations the facility received, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

115.64 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?
 Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No

115.64 (b)

- If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*

Interviews:

1. Custody Staff and Non-Custody Staff First Responders
2. Inmates Who Reported a Sexual Abuse
3. Random Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.64 (a): PS 5324.12, page 40, describes staff first responder duties. Specifically, it requires that upon learning that an inmate was sexually abused, the first responder custody staff member will: separate the alleged victim and the alleged perpetrator, preserve and protect any crime scene until evidence can be collected and if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, request that the alleged victim and ensure that the alleged perpetrator not take any action to destroy physical evidence, including washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating. All random staff interviewed were well versed on their first responder duties. Staff had a card that they can utilize during incidents to ensure they complete the required steps. All staff indicated they would separate the alleged victim and alleged perpetrator, would secure the crime scene and would instruct inmates not to destroy any physical evidence. Staff also indicated they would take the inmate to medical. Inmates were interviewed who had reported sexual abuse / harassment and did indicate that they were separated from the alleged perpetrator. The investigations were reviewed and all documented the dates of the reports as well as when staff made contact with the inmate and all were in compliance with the standard.

115.64 (b): PS 5324.12, page 40, describes staff first responder duties. Specifically, it requires that if the first responder is not a custody staff member, the responder shall advise the alleged victim and ensure the alleged perpetrator not take any action to destroy physical evidence, if it occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence. Additionally, policy indicates that the first responder must preserve the crime scene for the SIS. During the previous twelve months, there have been no allegations of sexual abuse in which the staff responder was not a custody staff member. Interviews with first responders confirmed that they are aware of their first responder duties.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, a review of the investigative files and interviews with first responders and random staff and inmates who reported a sexual abuse, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

115.65 (a)

- Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS-5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention*
3. SPG-5324.12h, Institution Supplement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. OneSource First Responder Reference Guide

Interviews:

1. Warden

Findings (By Provision):

115.65 (a): The PAQ as well as PS-5324.12, page 40, indicates that the facility has a written plan which coordinates actions taken in response to incidents of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health staff, investigators and facility leaders. A review of SPG-5324.12h showed that all areas are accounted for in the plan. Sections include actions and responsibilities required for each area. The OneSource First Responder Reference Guide is a checklist of the responsibilities for each step in the response process. The Warden confirmed that the facility has a plan and that it includes all the required components.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, SPG-5324.12h, the OneSource First Responder Reference Guide, and the interview with the Warden, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

115.66 (a)

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? Yes No

115.66 (b)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. Federal Bureau of Prisons and Council of Prison Locals – American Federation of Government Employees Master Agreement
3. Memorandum from the Warden regarding Collective Bargaining Agreements

Interviews:

1. Agency Head Designee

Findings (By Provision):

115.66 (a): The Memorandum from the Warden indicated that the agency has not entered into or renewed a collective bargaining agreement since the last PREA audit. Page 70 of the Master Agreement indicates that the employer may reassign the employee to another job within the institution or remove the employee from the institution pending investigation and resolution of

the matter. The interview with the Agency Head Designee confirmed that the agency has a collective bargaining agreement, however, article 30g of the Master Agreement permits the agency to remove an employee from the institution when an allegation adversely affects the agency's confidence in the employee or the security of the institution.

115.66 (b): The Memorandum from the Warden indicated that the agency has not entered into or renewed a collective bargaining agreement since the last PREA audit. Page 70 of the Master Agreement indicates that the employer may reassign the employee to another job within the institution or remove the employee from the institution pending investigation and resolution of the matter.

Based on a review of the PAQ, the Master Agreement, the Memorandum from the Warden and the interview with the Agency Head Designee, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation

115.67 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? Yes No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? Yes No

115.67 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services, for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? Yes No

115.67 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct

and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? Yes No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? Yes No
- Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? Yes No

115.67 (d)

- In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?
 Yes No

115.67 (e)

- If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?
 Yes No

115.67 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*, p. 42-43
3. Monitoring Documents

Interviews:

1. Agency Head Designee
2. Warden
3. Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation
4. Inmate Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.67 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 42-43, outline the agency's method for protection against retaliation. It addresses that the agency will protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse and sexual harassment from retaliation by other inmates and staff and has designated staff responsible for monitoring. The PAQ indicated that the facility has a policy and that the PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for monitoring retaliation.

115.67 (b): PS 5324.12, page 42, addresses the multiple measures that the facility will take to protect inmates and staff. These measures include housing changes or transfers for inmate victims, removal of the alleged staff abuser from contact with the victim and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting. A review of investigative reports and monitoring documents indicated that there have been no allegations of retaliation nor any reported fear of retaliation. Interviews with the Agency Head Designee, Warden and staff responsible for monitoring retaliation all indicated that protective measures would be taken if an inmate or staff member expressed fear of retaliation. The interview with the Agency Head Designee indicated that the PCM would monitor the inmate and monitoring would include housing and cell reassignments, work reassignments, programming changes and disciplinary action. The Agency Head Designee indicated for staff it could include reassignment of work posts, performance evaluations and shift changes. The Warden and staff responsible for monitoring indicated inmates would be reviewed for up to 90 days for retaliation and that staff could be removed from the area or facility and the inmates could be moved to another facility.

115.67 (c): PS 5324.12, page 43, addresses that the facility will monitor the inmate for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse and will monitor the conduct and treatment of the inmate or staff to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation and will act promptly to remedy any retaliation. The policy requires that the process include monitoring any inmate disciplinary reports, housing or program changes or any negative performance reviews or reassignments of staff. The policy indicates that monitoring can extend beyond 90 days if the

initial monitoring indicates a need to continue. The policy states that the PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for monitoring. The PAQ indicated that the facility monitors for retaliation and that it does so for at least 90 days. The PAQ indicated that there had been no instances of retaliation in the previous twelve months. Interviews with the Warden and staff responsible for monitoring retaliation all indicated that the inmate would be safeguarded and an investigation would be initiated. Monitoring staff indicated that they would monitor the inmate for at least 90 days and would spot check every few weeks. He indicated that housing changes, job changes, progress reviews, disciplinary reports and unreasonable incident reports would be reviewed for possible retaliation.

115.67 (d): PS 5324.12, page 43, states that the facility will monitor the inmate and such monitoring includes periodic status checks. In instances where monitoring was required, staff stated that they would monitor an inmate who alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment for 90 days. This monitoring would include status checks. The interview with staff responsible for monitoring indicated that he would monitor the inmate for at least 90 days and that this would include status checks.

115.67 (e): PS 5324.12, page 43, states that if any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses fear of retaliation, the agency shall take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation. Interviews with the Agency Head Designee and the Warden indicated that they would employ the same protective measures as stated previously related to staff and inmates to include removal of inmates or staff, protective protocols, counseling and an investigation.

115.67 (f): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, investigative reports, sample monitoring documents and interviews with the Agency Head Designee, the Warden, and staff charged with monitoring retaliation, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

115.68 (a)

- Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. BP-A1002: Safeguarding of Inmates Alleging Sexual Abuse/Assault Allegation Form

Interviews:

1. Warden
2. Random Staff
3. Random Inmates

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Special Housing Unit

Findings (By Provision):

115.68 (a): PS 5324.12, page 43, indicates any use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse will be subject to the requirements of 115.43. Pages 33-34 of P5324.12 reference the requirements under 115.43 in policy. The PAQ indicated that there were no inmates involuntarily segregated for zero to 24 hours awaiting completion of assessment. Alternative housing was arranged and they were then placed back into general population. During the site review, it was observed that the special housing unit at this facility did not currently house any inmates who were alleged to have suffered sexual abuse. The BP-A1002 (sample) for inmates indicates the statement for the basis of the facility's concern for the inmate safety as well as the reason why there were no alternative housing available. The interview with the Warden indicated that inmates who alleged sexual abuse would only be placed in involuntary special housing until an assessment of all available alternatives had been made and a determination was made that no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers existed. The Warden indicated this would typically not exceed 30 days and the status of the inmate would be reviewed at least every 30 days by staff. Interviews with inmates and staff also indicated that inmates are not housed in special housing unless there is no other alternative and then it is for a very short period of time.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, BP-A1002 and interviews with the Warden, random staff and inmates, this standard is determined to be compliant.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

115.71 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA
- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA

115.71 (b)

- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? Yes No

115.71 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? Yes No
- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?
 Yes No
- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? Yes No

115.71 (d)

- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? Yes No

115.71 (e)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? Yes No
- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? Yes No

115.71 (f)

- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? Yes No
- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? Yes No

115.71 (g)

- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? Yes No

115.71 (h)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? Yes No

115.71 (i)

- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? Yes No

115.71 (j)

- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? Yes No

115.71 (k)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.71 (l)

- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Investigation Files

Interviews:

1. Investigative Staff
2. Warden
3. PREA Coordinator
4. PREA Compliance Manager
5. Inmate Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.71 (a): PS 5324.12, page 43, states that when an agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and special harassment, it shall do so promptly, thoroughly and objectively for all allegations. The policy indicated that when it is an inmate-on-inmate allegation that the Special Investigative Services (SIS) is contacted and for an allegation that is staff-on-inmate, the OIA and OIG are contacted. The interview with the investigator confirmed that in these and any allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, an investigation would be initiated immediately and promptly. The investigator indicated that all investigations (administrative and criminal) are completed promptly, thoroughly and objectively.

115.71 (b): PS 5324.12, page 44, indicates that when sexual abuse is alleged, the agency shall use investigators who have received specialized training pursuant to 115.34. A review of an email from the Administrator of the External Auditing Branch indicated that OIG field agents have completed the DOJ/OIG PREA training. Additionally, a review of training records revealed that facility staff have completed the NIC training. The interview with the investigator indicated that she received specialized training and he completes the NIC training annually.

115.71 (c): PS 5324.12, page 44, describes the criminal and administrative investigation process. Specifically, it states that investigators shall gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence including physical, DNA, electronic monitoring data and interviews. It also indicates that they will review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the alleged perpetrator. The interview with investigative staff indicated that in these allegations, and any allegations, they would ensure the victim was safeguarded and begin the investigation. This would include interviews, evidence collection, photographs, medical assessments, mental health assessments, report writing and facts and finds. The investigator indicated that the investigation from start to finish would typically take about five days.

115.71 (d): PS 5324.12, page 44, describes the criminal and administrative investigation process. Specifically, it states that when evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, that

the agency will conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors. The interview with the investigator confirmed that they would only conduct compelled interviews after consulting with the FBI or prosecutors.

115.71 (e): PS 5324.12, page 44, describes the criminal and administrative investigation process. Specifically, it states that the credibility of the alleged victim, perpetrator and/or witness will be assessed on an individual basis and will not be determined based on the individual's status as an inmate or staff member. Additionally, it indicates that inmates would not be required to submit to a polygraph examination or any other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation. The interview with the investigator confirmed that the agency does not utilize polygraph tests or any other truth-telling devices on inmates who allege sexual abuse. Interviews with inmates who had reported a sexual abuse indicated that they were not asked or required to submit to a polygraph examination.

115.71 (f): PS 5324.12, pages 44-45, describes the criminal and administrative investigation process. Specifically, it states that all administrative investigations will include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse and shall be documented in a written report that includes a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments and investigative facts and findings. Additionally, the policy indicates that the investigation should also include information as to whether other factors such as physical layout, staffing patterns and institutional operations contributed to the abuse. The interview with investigative staff confirmed that administrative investigations are documented in written reports and include all facts and findings. The reports contain a memorandum, photos (if any), interviews, summary, initial allegation and a conclusion. The investigator indicated that they review any evidence, TRUSCOPE logs and rosters to determine if staff actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse. A review of the investigations indicates that all of this information was included.

115.71 (g): PS 5324.12, page 45, indicates that criminal investigations shall be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible. There were no criminal investigations completed related to sexual abuse within the previous twelve months. The interview with investigative staff confirmed that criminal investigations are documented in written reports and include all factual findings as well as the conclusion of the findings. Staff indicated they would have all the same components as an administrative investigation except there may be additional information as it relates to staff to include phone calls and emails.

115.71 (h): PS 5324.12, page 45 and the PAQ indicated that substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal will be referred to prosecution. The PAQ indicated that there have been no allegations referred for prosecution since the last PREA audit. The interview with the investigator confirmed that if fact finding led to a belief that the allegation occurred, it would be referred by the FBI or OIG for prosecution.

115.71 (i): PS 5324.12, page 45 describes that all written reports referenced in (f) and (g) will be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus

five years. A review of a sample of historic investigations confirmed that the retention requirement is being met.

115.71 (j): PS 5324.12, page 45, indicates that the departure of the alleged victim or alleged abuser from employment or custody of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation. The interview with the investigator confirmed that any and all investigations are completed no matter if staff leave/resign or if inmates depart the facility or agency's custody.

115.71 (k): The Office of the Inspector General and the Federal Bureau of Investigation are responsible for conducting criminal sexual abuse investigations at all BOP facilities.

115.71 (l): The Office of the Inspector General and the Federal Bureau of Investigation are responsible for conducting criminal sexual abuse investigations at all BOP facilities. The written response from the National PREA Coordinator stated that the majority of the sexual abuse investigations are conducted internally. If the Office of the Inspector General is conducting the investigation, they provide updates to the institution. At the conclusion of their investigation, they inform the Office of Internal Affairs of the outcome.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, and information from interviews with the Director of the BOP, Warden, PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, and investigative staff, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

115.72 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

MCFP Springfield

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Investigation Files

Interviews:

1. Investigative staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.72 (a): PS 5324.12, page 45, indicates that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. A review of the records indicated that investigations utilized a standard of preponderance of the evidence in their determinations. The interview with the investigator indicated that preponderance of evidence is the threshold to substantiate an allegation.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12 and information from the interviews with investigative staff, and a review of the investigation and the findings, it is determined that this standard is compliant.

Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

115.73 (a)

- Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? Yes No

115.73 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) Yes No NA

115.73 (c)

- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? Yes No

- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Yes No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Yes No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No

115.73 (d)

- Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No
- Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No

115.73 (e)

- Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? Yes No

115.73 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Memorandum from Warden regarding Reporting to Inmates
4. PREA Compliance Manager Information Tracking Log
5. Memo for File – Inmate Notification

Interviews:

1. Warden
2. Investigative Staff
3. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.73 (a): PS 5324.12, page 45, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, describes the process for reporting investigative information to inmate. Specifically, it states that following an investigation into an inmate's sexual abuse allegation, the facility will inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. The policy indicates that the Special Investigative Services Lieutenant is responsible for all notifications under this standard. The interview with the Warden and investigative staff confirmed that inmates are informed for the outcome of the investigation into the inmates' allegations. The auditor reviewed the investigation files and inmates who had filed allegations. All stated that they had been notified of the outcome of the investigation, which the auditor reviewed in the investigation file.

115.73 (b): PS 5324.12, page 46 indicates that if the agency does not conduct the investigation, that it shall request the relevant information from the investigating agency in order to inform the inmate. The OIG and FBI are responsible for criminal sexual abuse investigations. These agencies provide relevant information to the facility in order to inform inmates about the outcome of their investigations. The PAQ indicated that there were no investigations completed within the previous twelve months by an outside agency.

115.73 (c): PS 5324.12, page 46 and the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program describe the process for reporting investigative information to inmates. Specifically, it states that following an investigation into an inmate's sexual abuse allegation against a staff member, the agency will inform the inmate as to whether the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit, the staff member is no longer employed at the facility, if the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The policy further indicates that these notifications may not be appropriate in all cases and that all notifications are made in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act.

115.73 (d): PS 5324.12, page 45 and the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program describe the process for reporting investigative information to inmates. Specifically, it states that following an investigation into an inmate's sexual abuse allegation by another inmate, the agency will inform the inmate as to whether the alleged abuser has been

indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or if the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The PAQ indicated that there have been no substantiated allegations of sexual abuse committed by an inmate against another inmate in the previous twelve months. As such, there were no investigations referred for prosecution.

115.73 (e): PS 5324.12, page 46 indicates that all notifications or attempted notification would be documented and maintained in the investigative file. The investigation files for the previous 12 months were reviewed by the auditor and all contained documentation of notifications to the inmate.

115.73 (f): This provision is not required to be audited.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, information from interviews with the Warden, investigators, and inmates who had reported a sexual abuse / harassment, as well as documentation provided of the investigation files, this standard is found to be compliant.

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

115.76 (a)

- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.76 (b)

- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? Yes No

115.76 (c)

- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? Yes No

115.76 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? Yes No
- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 3420.11, Program Statement, *Standards of Employee Conduct*
3. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. Memorandum from the Warden regarding Disciplinary Sanctions for Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.76 (a): PS 3420.11, pages 6-7 and PS 5324.12 describe the process for disciplinary sanctions against staff. Specifically, they indicate that staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

115.76 (b): PS 3420.11, pages 6-7 and PS 5324.12 indicate that termination will be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who engage in the sexual abuse. The policy states that engaging in this activity is a violation of Title 18, US Code Chapter 109A and that it may result in up to life in prison. The PAQ as well as the memo from the Warden indicated that there were no staff who violated the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. Additionally, there have been no staff who were terminated or resigned prior to termination for violating the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months.

115.76 (c): PS 5324.12 describes the process for disciplinary sanctions against staff. Specifically, it illustrates that disciplinary sanctions for violations of the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the act, the staff members disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff members who were disciplined, short of termination, for violating the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months.

115.76 (d): PS 5324.12 indicates that staff who are terminated for violating the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or staff who resign prior to being terminated, will be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The PAQ indicated that there had been no staff that were disciplined for violating the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months. The PAQ indicated that there have not been any staff members reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 3420.11, PS 5324.12 and the memo related to staff discipline, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

115.77 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

115.77 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 3420.11, Program Statement, *Standards of Employee Conduct*

3. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
4. Memorandum from the Warden regarding Corrective Action for Contractors and Volunteers

Interviews:

1. Warden

Findings (By Provision):

115.77 (a): PS 3420.11, pages 6-7 and PS 5324.12 describe the process for corrective action for volunteers and contractors. Volunteers and contractors fall under the same category of staff and as such, any violation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies may result in up to termination from the facility. The policy states that engaging in this activity is a violation of Title 18, US Code Chapter 109A and that it may result in up to life in prison. Additionally, P5324.12 states that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse is prohibited from contact with inmates and will be reported to law enforcement, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The PAQ and the memo from the Warden indicated that there have been no contractors or volunteers who have been reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies within the previous twelve months and that, in fact, there have been no contractors or volunteers as subjects of investigations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment of inmates.

115.77 (b): PS 5324.12 and the PAQ indicated that the agency takes remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with inmates in the case of any other violation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. The interview with the Warden indicated that any violation of the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies would result in the volunteer or contractor no longer being allowed in the facility. He also indicated that they may be referred to the FBI for prosecution.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 3420.11, PS 5324.12, the memo from the PCM and information from the interview with the Warden, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

115.78 (a)

- Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? Yes No

115.78 (b)

MCFP Springfield

- Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? Yes No

115.78 (c)

- When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? Yes No

115.78 (d)

- If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? Yes No

115.78 (e)

- Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? Yes No

115.78 (f)

- For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? Yes No

115.78 (g)

- If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

MCFP Springfield

2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Memorandum from the Warden regarding Disciplinary Sanctions for Inmates
4. Investigation Files

Interviews:

1. Warden
2. Medical and Mental Health Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.78 (a): PS 5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it states that inmates will be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or following a finding of guilt from a criminal investigation. The PAQ indicated there have been no administrative findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse nor have there been any criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate abuse within the previous twelve months.

115.78 (b): PS 5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the sanctions will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmates' disciplinary history and sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by inmates with similar histories. The PAQ indicated there have been no administrative findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse nor have there been any criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate abuse within the previous twelve months, therefore there has not been any discipline. The interview with the Warden indicated that if the allegation was not criminal that a disciplinary hearing would take place and sanctions could include loss of good conduct, disciplinary special housing, transfer to another facility or transfer to a higher level of security. If the conduct was criminal, it would be referred for prosecution.

115.78 (c): PS 5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the disciplinary process will consider whether the inmate's mental illness or mental disability contributed to the behavior when determining what sanctions, if any, should be imposed. The PAQ indicated there have been no administrative findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse nor have there been any criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate abuse within the previous twelve months, therefore there has not been any discipline. The interview with the Warden indicated that the inmate abuser's mental health would be considered in the disciplinary sanctions hearing.

115.78 (d): PS 5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the agency will offer therapy, counseling and other interventions to correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse and will consider whether to require the abuser to participate in these interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits. The PAQ indicated that there have been no administrative findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate abuse within the previous twelve months, therefore there has not been any discipline. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that they do offer therapy, counseling and other services designed to address and correct underlying issues and that it is voluntary. They

indicated that they do not require the inmate to participate as a condition of access to programming and other benefits.

115.78 (e): PS 5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the agency may discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent. The memo from the Warden indicated that there have been no instances where inmates have been disciplined for sexual contact with staff.

115.78 (f): PS 5324.12, page 48 describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that inmates will not be disciplined for reporting sexual abuse in good faith based upon reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish sufficient evidence to substantiate the allegation. The policy further states that the maintenance of an effective sexual abuse prevention policy requires inmates to be held responsible for manipulative behavior and making false allegations. There have been no instances during the previous twelve months of the audit where inmates have been disciplined for falsely reporting an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

115.78 (g): PS 5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the agency may, in its discretion, prohibit all sexual activity between inmates and may discipline inmates for such activity. An agency may not, however, deem such activity to constitute sexual abuse if it is determined that the activity is not coerced.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, the memo from the Warden and interviews from the Warden and medical and mental health care staff, this standard is determined to be compliant.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

115.81 (a)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)
 Yes No NA

115.81 (b)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) Yes No NA

115.81 (c)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? Yes No NA

115.81 (d)

- Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? Yes No

115.81 (e)

- Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Memorandum from the Warden regarding Medical and Mental Health Screenings; History of Sexual Abuse
4. Medical and Mental Health Documents

Interviews:

1. Staff Responsible for Risk Screening

2. Medical and Mental Health Staff
3. Inmates Who Disclosed Sexual Victimization at Risk Screening

Site Review Observations:

1. Risk Screening

Findings (By Provision):

115.81 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 49, describes medical and mental health screenings related to sexual abuse. Specifically, it states that inmates who indicate during the risk screening that they have experienced prior sexual victimization will be offered a follow-up with medical or mental health practitioners within fourteen (14) days of the screening. PS 5324.12, pages 29, 30 and 32 indicate the requirements for the risk screening. All inmates who are identified during the risk screening to have experienced prior sexual victimization are referred to Psychology Services. The PAQ indicates that 100% of inmates who reported prior victimization within the previous 12 months were offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner. The PAQ also indicated that medical and mental health practitioners maintain documents related to compliance with these services. Interviews with inmates who disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening indicated that they were offered follow-up services with medical and mental health staff. Interviews with staff responsible for risk screening also indicated that inmates who indicate that they have experienced prior victimization are referred to psychology and offered a follow-up meeting with mental health or medical staff within 14 days of the intake screening.

115.81 (b): PS 5324.12, page 49, describes medical and mental health screenings related to sexual abuse. Specifically, it states that inmates who indicate during the risk screening that they have previously perpetrated sexual abuse will be offered a follow-up with medical or mental health staff within fourteen (14) days of the screening. PS 5324.12, pages 29, 30 and 32 indicate the requirements for the risk screening. All inmates identified during the risk screening to have previously perpetrated sexual abuse are referred to Psychology Services. The PAQ indicated that medical and mental health staff maintain documents related to compliance with these services in these instances.

The auditor interviewed the Chief Psychologist during the onsite phase of the audit. The Chief Psychologist noted that inmates are generally seen by mental health within a week of their risk screening and are often seen at least once a week thereafter, if needed. The mental health staff also follow up regularly informally when the inmate does not wish to have ongoing treatment.

115.81 (c): This provision does not apply as the facility is not a jail, but rather a federal prison.

115.81 (d): PS 5324.12, page 49, states that information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting shall be strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff, necessary, to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education and program assignments. A memo provided by the Warden stated that although most information related to sexual victimization of abusiveness that occurs in an institution setting is strictly limited to medical and mental health professionals, some tracking information and / or safeguarding information may

be seen by custody and non-health care personnel. For example, if the inmate is deemed to be “at-risk” for abusiveness or victimization, non-health care personnel would have access to this designation. Additionally, if an inmate has a Security Threat Group designation, this designation would also be available to non-health care personnel. During the site review, it was noted by the auditor that inmate medical files are maintained electronically and inmate classification files are kept behind locked doors with limited access by staff.

115.81 (e): PS 5324.12, page 50, states that medical and mental health staff are required to obtain informed consent from inmates prior to reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur within an institutional setting, unless the inmate was under eighteen (18) years of age. The interview with the Warden indicated that there have not been any instances where medical and mental health staff reported prior sexual victimization and that they would obtain informed consent prior to reporting. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicate that they obtain informed consent prior to reporting any sexual abuse that did not occur in an institutional setting, that they disclose their duty to report and that they have not had any instances of this in the previous twelve (12) months. Additionally, they indicated that victims under eighteen (18) years of age and vulnerable adults fall under mandatory reporting laws and they would be required to report any allegations from these individuals.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, the memo from the Warden, medical and mental health documents and information from the interviews with staff who perform risk screening, medical and mental health staff, the Warden, and inmates who disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

115.82 (a)

- Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?
 Yes No

115.82 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? Yes No
- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.82 (c)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? Yes No

115.82 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Investigation Files
4. Memorandum from the Warden regarding Access to Emergency Medical and Mental Health Services

Interviews:

1. Medical and Mental Health Staff
2. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse
3. First Responders

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Medical and Mental Health Areas

Findings (By Provision):

115.82 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 50-51, describes inmates' access to emergency medical and mental health treatment. Page 50 states that inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services as determined by the medical and mental health staff. The PAQ indicates that medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials documenting the timeliness of services. During the site review, the auditor noted that all medical and mental health areas consisted of an emergency room, numerous exam rooms and offices. All areas were private and consisted of solid doors that allowed for adequate confidentiality. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirm

that inmates receive timely services, typically immediately. They also advised that services are based on their professional judgement. Interviews with inmates who reported a sexual abuse indicated that they were provided access to both medical and mental health. A review of the investigative files, verified that medical and mental health services were provided.

115.82 (b): PS 5324.12, page 51 and the PAQ indicates that if no qualified medical or mental health practitioners were on duty at the time of a report of recent abuse, that the Operations Lieutenant would take preliminary steps to protect the victim and notify the appropriate medical and mental health services. Procedure confirms that inmate victims of sexual abuse would be transported to a local hospital for a forensic medical examination. The interviews with first responders indicated that the inmates would be immediately separated, that evidence on the inmates would be preserved, the crime scene would be secured and the Operations Lieutenant would be contacted.

115.82 (c): PS 5324.12, pages 50-51, describe inmate's access to emergency medical and mental health treatment. Page 51 states that inmate victims of sexual abuse will receive timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. When the inmate is transferred to the local hospital, these services are typically rendered at the time and the facility would continue any follow-up medication, education or services. Inmates who reported a sexual abuse indicated that they were given the information and access as required by this standard.

115.82 (d): PS 5324.12, pages 50-51, describe inmates' access to emergency medical and mental health treatment. Page 51 states that inmate victims of sexual abuse will receive treatment services without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the alleged abuser or cooperates with any investigation. The policy indicates that the policies related to co-pays do not apply to sexual abuse victims.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, a review of medical and mental health documents and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff, inmates who reported a sexual abuse and custody staff first responders, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

115.83 (a)

- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? Yes No

115.83 (b)

MCFP Springfield

- Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? Yes No

115.83 (c)

- Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? Yes No

115.83 (d)

- Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? Yes No NA

115.83 (e)

- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? Yes No NA

115.83 (f)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? Yes No

115.83 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? Yes No

115.83 (h)

- If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Investigation Files

Interviews:

1. Medical and Mental Health Staff
2. Inmate Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Medical Treatment Areas

Findings (By Provision):

115.83 (a): PS 5324.12, page 52, describes ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. It states that the agency will offer medical and mental health evaluations and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup or juvenile facility. During the site review, the auditor noted that the medical area consisted of an emergency room, numerous exam rooms and offices. The mental health area consisted of numerous offices. All areas were private and consisted of solid doors which allowed for adequate confidentiality.

115.83 (b): PS 5324.12, page 52, describes ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. It states that evaluations and treatments of such victims will include follow-up services, treatment plans, and when necessary, referrals for continued care following transfer or release from custody. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that they do provide follow up services and treatment plans as well as any services upon release, if necessary. Interviews with inmates who reported a sexual abuse also verified that they had been offered follow up services.

115.83 (c): PS 5324.12, page 52, describes ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. Specifically, it states that the facility shall provide victims medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care. All medical and mental health staff are required to have the appropriate credentials and licensures. The facility utilizes the local hospital for forensic medical examinations. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that inmates have immediate access to medical and mental health care when needed. Interviews also confirm that the services they provide are consistent, if not better, than the community level of care.

115.83 (d): PS 5324.12, page 52, describes ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. It indicates that female offenders who have been sexually victimized while incarcerated shall be offered pregnancy tests. This facility only houses male inmates.

115.83 (e): PS 5324.12, page 52, indicates that if pregnancy results from the conduct of section (d), such victims shall receive timely and comprehensive information and access to all lawful pregnancy related medical services. This facility only houses male inmates.

115.83 (f): PS 5324.12, page 52, describes ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. It states that victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated will be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Interviews with inmates who reported a sexual abuse indicated that they were offered tests for sexually transmitted infections.

115.83 (g): PS 5324.12, page 52, describes ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. It states that inmate victims of sexual abuse will receive treatment services without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the alleged abuser or cooperates with any investigation. The policy indicates that Bureau policies related to co-pays do not apply to sexual abuse victims. Interviews with inmates who reported a sexual abuse indicated that they were not charged for treatment services.

115.83 (h): PS 5324.12, page 52, indicates that the facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirm that inmate-on-inmate abusers would be offered mental health services. A review of documentation of inmates with an identified abuse history during risk screening indicated that each one had received services from mental health within 60 days of learning of the abuse history.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, a review of medical and mental health documents in the investigation files and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff as well as interviews with inmates who reported a sexual abuse, this standard is determined to be compliant.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.86 (a)

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? Yes No

115.86 (b)

MCFP Springfield

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?
 Yes No

115.86 (c)

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.86 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? Yes No
- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? Yes No
- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? Yes No
- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? Yes No
- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?
 Yes No

115.86 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

MCFP Springfield

2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Memo from the Warden regarding Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews
4. Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews

Interviews:

1. Warden
2. PREA Compliance Manager
3. Incident Review Team

Findings (By Provision):

115.86 (a): PS 5324.12, pages 52-53, outlines information related to sexual abuse incident reviews. Specifically, page 53 states that the facility will conduct sexual abuse incident reviews at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. Policy indicates that Executive Staff review the incident and that the PCM documents the recommendation and forwards them to the Warden for implementation. If the allegation is substantiated, a copy of the review is forwarded to the Regional PC. The PAQ indicated that the incidents of reported sexual abuse were followed up with a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of the investigation.

115.86 (b): PS 5324.12, pages 52-53, outlines information related to sexual abuse incident reviews. Specifically, page 53 states that the review shall ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The PAQ indicated that the reviews were conducted within 30 days of the completion of the investigations for the reported allegations from the previous twelve months. A review of the documentation of these reviews indicated that all were completed within the 30-day timeframe.

115.86 (c): PS 5324.12, pages 52-53, outlines information related to sexual abuse incident reviews. Specifically, page 53 states that the review team will consist of upper management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators and medical and mental health staff. Additionally, policy requires that the Union President or designee will be allowed input and the local union representative will be authorized to review the recommendations prior to implementation. The interview with the Warden confirmed that these reviews would be completed and they include upper management officials, mental health and medical staff and the investigator, in the event of a reported incident. A review of the documentation confirmed that the incident review team included line supervisors, investigators and medical and mental health practitioners (the Associate Wardens, Chief Psychologist, Health Services Administrator, SIA, Captain, Unit Manager, Attorney and CMC).

115.86 (d): PS 5324.12, page 53, states that the review team shall: consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice; consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity or sexual preference (identified or perceived), gang affiliation, or if it was motivated by other group dynamics; examine the area where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether there were any physical

barriers; assess the staffing levels; assess video monitoring technology and prepare a report of its findings to include any recommendations for improvement. Policy indicates that Executive Staff review the incident and that the PCM documents the recommendation and forwards them to the Warden for implementation. If the allegation is substantiated, a copy of the review is forwarded to the Regional PC. Interviews with the Warden, PCM and incident review team member confirmed that these reviews are completed and include all the required elements in the event of a reported incident. The staff will determine if anyone needed to be moved, if there are any flaws in security practices, any issues with the physical plant and if there are any recommendations or needed corrective action. A review of the incident review team documentation indicates that all of the required elements under this standard are completed.

115.86 (e): PS 5324.12, page 53, outlines information related to sexual abuse incident reviews. Specifically, it states that the facility will implement the recommendations for improvement or document the reasons for not doing so. Policy also states that all recommendations must comply with current collective bargaining agreements. A review of the Incident Reviews, it is noted that all reviews included a section for recommendations.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, Warden memos, and information from interviews with the Warden, the PCM and member of the sexual abuse incident review team, as well as documentation of the after-action reviews, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.87: Data collection

115.87 (a)

- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? Yes No

115.87 (b)

- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? Yes No

115.87 (c)

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? Yes No

115.87 (d)

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? Yes No

115.87 (e)

MCFP Springfield

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) Yes No NA

115.87 (f)

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Bureau of Prisons Annual Reports

Findings (By Provision):

115.87 (a): PS 5324.12, page 54, outlines how PREA data is collected. Specifically, it states that the agency will collect accurate uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. It also indicates that the data will include at a minimum, data to answer questions on the Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV). A review of collected data confirmed that the agency utilizes the definitions set forth in the PREA standards. Data is collected from numerous sources to include SIS, OIA, SENTRY and the Information Technology and Data Division (ITDD). The OIA reports the data to the Director of the BOP and the ITDD reports the data for the SSV.

115.87 (b): PS 5324.12, page 55 and the PAQ indicates that the agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. Policy states that the PREA Coordinator and Regional PREA Coordinators are responsible for the annual aggregation.

115.87 (c): PS 5324.12, page 54, outlines how PREA data is collected. Specifically, it states that the agency will collect accurate uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. It also indicates that the data will include at a minimum, data to answer questions on the Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV). A review of collected data confirmed that the agency utilizes the definitions set forth in the PREA standards. Data is collected from numerous sources to include SIS, OIA,

SENTRY and the Information Technology and Data Division (ITDD). The OIA reports the data to the Director of the BOP and the ITDD reports the data for the SSV.

115.87 (d): PS 5324.12, page 55 and the PAQ indicate that the agency maintains, reviews and collects data as needed from available incident-based documents, including reports, investigative files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. Data is collected from numerous sources to include SIS, OIA, Sentry and the Information Technology and Data Division (ITDD). The OIA reports the data to the Director of the BOP and the ITDD reports the data for the SSV.

115.87 (e): N/A

115.87 (f): PS 5324.12, page 55 and the PAQ indicated that the agency provides the Department of Justice with data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30th.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12 and a review of the Annual Reports, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

115.88 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? Yes No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? Yes No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? Yes No

115.88 (b)

- Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse Yes No

115.88 (c)

MCFP Springfield

- Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.88 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Bureau of Prisons Annual Reports

Interviews:

1. Agency Head Designee
2. PREA Coordinator
3. PREA Compliance Manager

Findings (By Provision):

115.88 (a): PS 5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that the agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies and training. The review includes: identifying problem areas, taking corrective action on an ongoing basis and preparing an annual report of its findings and any corrective action. The interview with the Agency PREA Coordinator indicated that the data is reviewed and compiled in a report that is issued to the Director annually. A review of annual reports indicates that reports include aggregated data for all facilities. The data is broken down by incident type and includes investigative outcomes. The report also includes general information related to each substantiated incident. The report compares the data from the current year with the previous year. Additionally, the report includes problem areas and corrective action. The interview with the Agency Head Designee indicated that if incident-based data shows patterns, then policies, procedures and training may be modified. The PCM indicated that the facility provides data monthly that is utilized to compile an annual report. The annual report is utilized at the facility to assess practices and any needs for modification.

115.88 (b): PS 5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that the agency's annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provides an assessment of the progress in addressing sexual abuse. A review of annual reports indicates that reports include allegation data for all facilities. The data is broken down by incident type and includes investigative outcomes. The report also includes general information related to each substantiated incident. The report compares the data from the current year with the previous year. Additionally, the report includes problem areas and corrective action.

115.88 (c): PS 5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that the agency's annual report is approved by the Director of the BOP (Agency Head) and made available to the public through its website. The interview with the Agency Head Designee confirmed that the report is done annually and that it is reviewed prior to being placed on the public website. A review of the website: [BOP: Inmate Sexual Abuse Prevention](#) confirmed that the current annual report is available to the public online.

115.88 (d): PS 5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that the agency may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility, but must indicate the nature of the material redacted. Policy states that the agency complies with the Federal Privacy Act and the Freedom of Information Act. A review of the annual report confirmed that no personal identifying information was included in the report nor any security related information. The report did not contain any redacted information.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, the annual reports, the website and information obtained from interviews with the Agency Head Designee, PC and PCM, this standard is determined to be compliant.

Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction

115.89 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?
 Yes No

115.89 (b)

- Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.89 (c)

- Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? Yes No

115.89 (d)

- Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. PS 5324.12, Program Statement, *Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program*
3. Bureau of Prisons Annual Reports

Interviews:

1. PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.89 (a): PS 5324.12, page 56, describes the data storage, publication and destruction of information related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. Specifically, it states that the agency shall ensure all data is security retained. The PAQ as well as the interview with the PREA Coordinator confirmed that the agency complies with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and other applicable laws, rules and regulations to ensure all investigative, psychological and medical data is security maintained.

115.89 (b): PS 5324.12, page 56, describes the data storage, publication and destruction of information related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. Specifically, it states that the agency will make all aggregated sexual abuse data readily available to the public, at least annually, through its website or through other means. A review of the website: https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody_and_care/sexual_abuse_prevention.jsp confirmed that the current annual report, which includes aggregated data, is available to the public online.

115.89 (c): PS 5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency shall remove all personal identifiers. A review of the

annual report, which contains the aggregated data, confirmed that no personal identifiers were publicly available.

115.89 (d): PS 5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicate that the agency maintains sexual abuse data that is collected for at least ten years after the date of initial collection. A review of historical annual reports indicated that aggregated data is available from 2013 to present.

Based on a review of the PAQ, PS 5324.12, annual reports, the website and information obtained from the interview with the PREA Coordinator, this standard is determined to be compliant.

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

115.401 (a)

- During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (*Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.*) Yes No

115.401 (b)

- Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (*Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.*) Yes No
- If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the *second* year of the current audit cycle.) Yes No NA
- If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the *third* year of the current audit cycle.) Yes No NA

115.401 (h)

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? Yes No

115.401 (i)

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? Yes No

115.401 (m)

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? Yes No

115.401 (n)

- Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Findings (By Provision):

115.401 (a): The facility is part of the Federal Bureau of Prisons. All BOP facilities were audited in the previous three-year cycle.

115.401 (b): The facility is part of the Federal Bureau of Prisons. The BOP has a schedule for all of their facilities to be audited within the three-year cycle, with one third being audited in each cycle. The facility is being audited in the third year of the fourth PREA audit cycle.

115.401 (h)-(m-): The auditor had access to all areas of the facility; was permitted to receive and copy any relevant policies, procedure or documents; was permitted to conduct private interviews and was able to receive confidential information/correspondence from inmates.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28

C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Findings (By Provision):

115.403 (a): Audited at Agency Level.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

- The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission.¹ Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned.² See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Cynthia Swier

01/13/2025

Auditor Signature

Date

¹ See additional instructions here: <https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110>.

² See *PREA Auditor Handbook*, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.